This recommendation was passed unanimously by both houses In 1994, I attended the IUCN Buenos Aires; The Sierra club had a resolution on North American Coastal Forests, and I worked with Michael McClosky, who was then the President of the International Sierra Club. When our resolution was read, two Canadian government agencies opposed it, so a contact group was set up. We were able to counter their objections and the recommendation was put back on the floor. It is ironic that Canada should have referred to their concern for First Nations with whom they are constantly in court; at the contact group they did not mention anything about their concern for First nations.

When I came home there was an article in the paper about the resolution.

I had been in court since 1993 trying to set aside the injunction which had resulted in 1000 citizens arrested in Clayoquot Sound. and when I returned I was back into with a leave to appeal, I used the IUCN recommendation in the case along with sections from UNFCCC, CBD, AND UNCLOS.

EXCERPT FROM AN APPEALWHICH INCLUDED THE IUCN RESOLUTION

APPEAL FROM FILE # C916306

APPEAL NO. VO1984

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA COURT OF

APPEAL

BETWEEN: MACMILLAN BLOEDEL PLAINTIFF

(RESPONDENT)

JOAN RUSSOW RESPONDENT

(LEAVE TO APPEAL

APPLICANT)

APPEAL FROM FILE # C916306

APPEAL NO. VO1984

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA COURT OF

APPEAL

BETWEEN: MACMILLAN BLOEDEL PLAINTIFF

(RESPONDENT)

JOAN RUSSOW RESPONDENT

(LEAVE TO APPEAL

APPLICANT)

LEAVE BOOK

## TABLE OF CONTENTS:

PART 1 -STATEMENT OF FACTS **PP. 5 — 8 (#1— #17).** TAB 1

PART II. REASONS FOR APPEAL **PP. 9—12** (#18 — #24). TAB 2

#### PART III. MEMORANDUM OF ARGUMENT:

- A. In the hearing of August 26, 1993, there was a failure to bring to the attention of the Honourable Mr. Justice Hall that the granting of the injunction could contribute to noncompliance with international obligations of Canada and its Courts, and that, in the September 15, 1993 application to rescind the injunction, the Honourable Mr. Justice Drake erred in his assessment of the relevance of international law. PP. 13 47 (#25 #122). TAB 3
- Performance of the treaty in good faith by ensuring the necessary conditions are in place for its performance of the treaty(Article 31, Convention of Law of Treaties) • International customary law affirmation of requirement to enact the necessary legislation • Affirmation of a requirement to enact necessary legislation prior to signing • Provisions for implementing legislation when subject under Provincial jurisdiction • All levels of Canadian governments, whether federal or provincial, and whether legislative, executive or judicial should endeavour in good faith to comply with treaties • Principles of guidance from relevant cases from High Court of Australia • Applicability of section in the Convention on the Law of Treaties on not defeating purpose of treaty from moment of signing (Article 18) • Applicability of the states being bound not to create the impossibility of performance Article 61 • Consideration of legally binding Conventions • Failure of federal government to ensure that the treaty obligations would be • Labour Convention case distinguished • Evidence of consultation with Provinces • Criteria derived from the Labour Convention Case for what would constitute "Consultation" with province • Biodiversity and Climate Change issues considered under residuary powers • Criteria for inclusion under section 91 residuary powers • Canada has been obliged not to invoke internal law to justify failure to perform international obligations • Canada has been in non-compliance since June 1992 because B.C. forest practices have been in contravention of provisions in the Conventions, and has defeated the purposes of the Conventions • B.C. government applying for an injunction and the Courts granting this injunction which had the result of permitting the continuation of practices that are in violation of the Biodiversity and Climate Change Convention, the courts have inadvertently encouraged non-compliance with international law • Canada is bound throughout its territory [including the provinces and territories] • Applicability of section in the Convention on the Law of Treaties on internal law not being invoked to justify non-compliance with obligations • Failing to identify Biodiversity, failure to carry out an environmental assessment, and failure to invoke the precautionary principles and protect carbon sinks • Canada, through B.C. 's not fulfilling its duty to identify, protect and conserve natural heritage of outstanding universal value, such as Coastal temperate rainforest areas like Clayoquot Sound • Canada since 1972 has failed to discharge its obligation to protect the natural heritage

of outstanding universal value for future generations • IUCN condemnation of forest practices and lack of adequate preservation of coastal temperate rainforests • Applicability of the Common Law Doctrine of Legitimate Expectations • Expectations related to fulfilling of obligations under globally adopted United Nations Agreements and resolutions • Noncompliance with globally adopted agreements: Caracas Declaration and Agenda 21

- B. This case also addresses the contempt for statutory law that has been demonstrated by industry, and in particular MacMillan Bloedel, in its non-compliance with statutory law, and by governments in their failure to enforce statutory law, particularly in relation to tree farm license (in the manner of a profit a prendre property right claimed by MacMillan Bloedel) PP. 48 53 (#123—#136). TAB 4
- B.C. has not only used internal law the granting of injunctions to justify non-compliance to International obligations but has failed to invoke its own internal law to prevent violations of international obligations MacMillan Bloedel in violation of statutory law Evidence of violations of forest Act and failure of government and courts to enforce statutory law failure to invoke Sections of the Forest Act
- MacMillan Bloedel was aware of alternative economically viable methods of selection logging which would have enabled Mac Milan Bloedel to have fulfilled its obligations under the Forest Act and thus its obligations under the TFL which they claim bestows a property right MacMillan Bloedel has been convicted under section 33 of the Federal fisheries Act for depositing deleterious substances which caused destruction to fish Habitat Evidence of type of violations occurring throughout TFL •

Summary of the findings of the Tripp report which was entitled *The Application and Effectiveness of the Coastal Fisheries forestry guidelines in selected cut blocks on Vancouver Island* • Evidence of violations of the Forest Act, collected by the Valhalla Wilderness Society • Evidence through Forest Watch that MacMillan Bloedel violating Forest Act in Clayoquot • Failure to assess the costs of past ecological damage in assessing compensation for taking areas out of TFL • Non-fulfillment of condition of the granting of the license is that logging has to be "sustained". • Evidence from leaked document from Inventory Branch of the Ministry of Forests demonstrating MacMillan Bloedel overestimating inventory by over 40% in Queen Charlottes cut block • Given that MacMillan Bloedel has been in violation over the years of many sections of the Forest Act, Waste Management Act and the Fisheries Act, MacMillan Bloedel has not fulfilled the conditions of the TFL under the Forest Act, and thus the contracting party has failed to perform its part of the contract. In this case it would be inappropriate to recognize that MacMillan Bloedel has a property right in the nature of a "profit a "Prendre". Surely the Court would recognize that a right cannot be claimed by one who has not fulfilled the responsibilities contingent upon that right.

C. The appeal will rely upon a realistic and objective evaluation of equity. In particular the use of an equitable remedy such as an injunction to justify non-performance of provincial and federal statutory law and to justify non-performance of international legal obligations, and international customary law.

PP. 54 — 58 (#136— #147). TAB 5

Evidence will be submitted that the injunction is an equitable remedy that has been misapplied in the Clayoquot case. Equity could never countenance the destruction of life rearing capacity and life forms in

its trust on a massive scale with no genuine regard for future generations • The remedy [of injunction] of course, is an equitable one. "The exercise of the equitable jurisdiction is not to be restricted by the straitjacket of rigid rules but is to be based on broad principles of justice and convenience, equity regarding the substance and not merely the facade or the shadow. It moves with time and circumstances. (Justice J.A. Norris) • The requirement to preserve our environmental heritage and the requirement to save a representative sample of natural ecosystems for future generations have been recognized and have become part of international customary law • An equitable remedy— an injunction, is being used to prosecute citizens of criminal contempt when the justification for the granting of this equitable remedy is still being questioned by the courts • The "impossibility avoidance" or "the avoidance of a disappearing object principle": (not having object disappear while object is under consideration). This principle is enunciated as follows: claimant will not find at the end of a successful trial that the subject matter is gone • Embodiment of a principle of international customary law which is eloquently stated in the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (Article 18 and 61): a state is obliged to "not defeat the object and purpose of a treaty prior to the entry into force" and not to make performance of the treaty impossible • The granting of the injunction would be in violation of the above principle because proceeding with logging when the logging could and would defeat the purpose of any treaty protecting the "ecological rights" within the public trust would defeat the purpose of the treaty • Implications of the principle ("impossibility avoidance" or "the avoidance of a disappearing object principle") should be considered in relation to the Public Trust Doctrine (Friends Patrai Doctrine) • Requirement to take into account the costs of any ecological consequences is a particularly relevant consideration in assessing "irreparable harm" in injunctions • An advisory opinion from the International Court of Law is going to be sought to determine whether Canada, through the actions of B.C. has been in violation of the Biodiversity Convention since the signing of the Convention in June 1992. Until this case is heard nothing should be done on crown lands which could diminish the value of the public trust rights • Since MacMillan Bloedel has been in violation of statutory law as well as international law it should not be able to benefit from the granting of an equitable remedy such as an injunction • Violations of guarantees in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights have occurred in the Clayoquot injunction trials (one Judge in response to a Clayoquot Arrestee's citing of a section from the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights was "that sounds like some international something or other") • When all domestic remedies fail redress can be sought through the Optional Protocol of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

*AUTHORITIES PP. 59* — *63* 

TAB 6

International legally binding agreements:
Globally adopted Resolutions, Charters and Declarations:
NGO/State Resolutions:
Statutory Law:

## PART II - REASONS FOR SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL

18. The issues of law that are raised in this application are of great import, and bring into question serious discrepancies between the legal obligations undertaken by Canada internationally, and the discharging of these obligations in Canada, both federally and provincially. This application also raises the issue that a positive duty is placed on states to enact the necessary legislation so as to enable the performance of treaties which have been signed by

the Federal government with the endorsement of the government of British Columbia. In addition, this appeal will also raise questions of legal responsibility for non-compliance with and non-enforcement of international and statutory law, and with the implications arising from the non-performance of those legal responsibilities. Serious legal issues about the direction being taken within the administration of the law of equity will also be considered on this appeal. In particular, the issue that an equitable remedy— an injunction, is being wrongfully used to prosecute citizens for criminal contempt.

19. The implications of international law were not considered by either of the applicants, namely Greenpeace Canada and Valerie Langer, who were seeking to rescind the injunction before Mr. Justice Hall, on August 26.

At the September 15 hearing, before Mr. Justice Drake in this matter, John Hunter lawyer, for MacMillan Bloedel, affirmed that Mr. Justice Hall had not considered the international commitments:

Now the last thing I wanted to say, just to address the central point raised by the applicants as to the international law aspects of this, and the applicants are quite correct that no point was made before Mr. Justice Hall as to the international commitments that may have been made by Canada in Rio de Janeiro, by any council. (Transcript of application from September 15, 1993).

20. The international law and other grounds for rescinding the injunction, presented by Dr. Betty Kleiman and Joan Russow in the September 15 submissions, were not more than cursorily considered and were not given a fair hearing.

On September 15, 1993 Dr. Betty Kleiman and Joan Russow made an application to rescind the Clayoquot injunction order dated August 26 and pronounced by Mr. Justice Hall on the following grounds outlined in the oral submission and the exhibits submitted to Judge Drake.

1. Failure to bring to Mr. Justice' Hall's attention that the granting of the injunction could contribute to non-compliance with international obligations 2. Failure to bring to Mr. Justice Hall's attention that the Clayoquot TFL's, are rights in the light of a "profit a prendre", which is a conditional right, and entails a complementary responsibility. Non-compliance with statutory law and previous convictions by the forest company, MacMillan Bloedel, should have been taken into consideration when the equitable remedy of an injunction was granted 3. Failure to bring to the judge's attention that the injunction is an equitable remedy moving with time and circumstances.

On September 17, 1993, Mr. Justice Drake ruled:

Mr. Hunter informs that an application for leave to appeal from the order of Mr. Justice Hall is afoot and is set down for hearing in the Court of Appeal on Tuesday next... In these circumstances, there is no point in dealing with the extensive submission of the applicants, interesting as they were (From p. 2-3 transcript of judgment, September 17).

21. John Hunter also submitted to the judge a copy of an article on international law by L.C. Green in which the 1937 case, Attorney-General for Canada v Attorney-general for Ontario.

Supreme Court of Canada A.C. 1937, pp. 326 -354 [Labour Convention Case] was submitted as evidence that B.C. was not bound by international law. There was no opportunity to distinguish this case from the present case because Hunter submitted the written document to the judge without orally introducing the case into his submission. In the appeal, the relationship between the Federal and Provincial governments in response to International legally binding treaties in the Labour Convention case will be distinguished from that in response to the UNCED Conventions. In addition, there was no opportunity to raise the "Franklin Dam" decision from the High Court of Australia (High Court of Australia, Australian Law Reports 1983 PP 625-831). Constitutional law [Australian conservation case] which is particularly relevant to the discussion of the relevance of international law to the "inquiry" into the justification of granting the injunction. The Franklin Dam case deals with the following issues:

Protection of natural and cultural heritage — Prohibition of dam construction authorized by Tasmania Whether with Commonwealth power External affairs power. Whether mere existence of treaty enough Whether treaty "obligation" necessary Convention for Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage (UNESCO).

The Franklin Dam case will be examined in the Appeal.

22. It should be noted as a preliminary comment that in the Hunter's submission to Judge Drake there was a reference to L.C. Green:

#### MR. HUNTER

And it may be of assistance to your lordship to have an excerpt from [L.C.] Green's work on International Law, Canadian Perspective, and I want to read a portion of what Professor Green has to say about treaty rights and I don't think there's any suggestion there that there has been a treaty but taking its at tits extreme --

COURT: Well there has to be some statutory recognition before the court.

MR. HUNTER: that is the point. And it starts at the bottom of 288 and goes to 289, but that is the simple point, there has to be statutory recognition and there has not been statutory recognition of anything that went on at Rio. I don't know what went on at Rio, ... and in the absence of legislation international matters are not of any relevance to this application. (Transcripts of submission from John Hunter, September, 15, 1993)

John Hunter mentioned Green and the page numbers 288-289 but did not actually cite from Green. The Judge had been subsequently given the aforementioned pages from Green by Hunter. The actual citation from Green was not in the transcripts. Green stated on pp. 288-289 that:

In Canada treaties are not self-executing and do not constitute part of the law of the land merely by virtue of their conclusion. Treaties require implementing legislation in order to change domestic law. (Canada v. (AGO Labour Conventions Case. [1937] per Lord Atkin: "Within the British Empire there is a well-established rule that the making of a treaty is an executive act, while the performance of its obligations, if they entail alternation of the existing domestic law requires legislative action. [note this case will be examined further on pages...]

This assertion is, however, substantially altered by two significant further statements by Green:

Canada will not normally become a party to an international agreement which requires implementing legislation until the necessary legislation has been enacted [cite references, including 1982 document circulated by External Affairs "Canadian Reply to Questionnaire on Parliaments and the Treaty-making power"]

The full context of this statement comes from the 1982 "Canadian Reply to Questionnaire on Parliaments and the Treaty-making Power". It is an External Affairs Department communiqué which was put together in 1982 to assist the External Affairs Officers in explaining the division of powers and constitutional conventions in Canada in relation to International obligations:

Many international agreements require legislation to make them effective in Canadian domestic law. The legislation may be either federal or provincial or a combination of both in fields of shared jurisdiction. Canada will not normally become a party to an international agreement which requires implementing legislation until the necessary legislation has been enacted.

In concluding this section which was referred to by John Hunter, Green, makes a very significant remark, which suggests that Canada is bound by the treaty prior to the enactment into national law:

the fact that a treaty has been signed and ratified but not yet enacted into national law does not preclude the international liability of the signatory under the treaty.

23. It will be submitted that John Hunter, lawyer for MacMillan Bloedel erred in stating that "nothing that happens in Rio affects the law of British Columbia until the province of British Columbia acting through its legislature determines that it shall affect the laws of British Columbia"(Hunter, Transcript, September 15 p. 22):

In my submission it would have been inappropriate to make such a point because that is not a relevant consideration and the reason it is not relevant consideration is that nothing that happens in Rio affects the law of British Columbia until the province of British Columbia acting through its legislature determines that it shall affect the laws of British Columbia. And it may be of assistance to your lordship to have an excerpt from L.C. Greens work on International Law, Canadian perspective [ note in this piece there was reference to the 1937 labour case (Attorney-General for Canada v Attorney-general for Ontario. Supreme Court of Canada A.C. 1937. [Labour Convention Case] pp. 326 -354 and to the External Affairs document "Canadian Reply to Questionnaire on Parliaments and the Treaty-making power"].

24. It will also be submitted that Mr. Justice Drake failed to consider the complexity inherent in the legal issues related to international obligations when he ruled "international agreements and resolutions, these not being expressed in Canadian law are not relevant" (Mr. Justice Drake, Transcript of Judgment, September 17, p. 3).

Mr. Justice Drake in his judgment made the following comment about international agreements and resolutions:

However, I will simply say, as far as their merits are concerned, that the argument relating to international agreements and resolutions, these not being expressed in Canadian law, are not relevant to this inquiry." (Mr. Justice Drake, Transcript of Judgment, September 17, p. 3).

Even though the order of Mr. Justice Hall will be expiring on August 31, 1994, it is important that this leave to appeal his order be granted so that the issues raised in the September 15 application to rescind this injunction could be fairly and judiciously considered and so that the September 17 judgment by Mr. Justice Drake could be reevaluated. If this order, and if the decision by Mr. Justice Drake are not challenged, they will be used in subsequent similar cases, as precedents.

#### **PART III - ARGUMENT**

- A. In the hearing of August 26, 1993, there was a failure to bring to the attention of the Honourable Mr. Justice Hall that the granting of the injunction could contribute to non-compliance with international obligations of Canada and its Courts, and that, in the September 15, 1993 application to rescind the injunction, the Honourable Mr. Justice Drake erred in his assessment of the relevance of international law.
- 25. It will be submitted that Canada, as a signatory, is bound to perform any treaty in good faith by ensuring the necessary conditions are in place for the performance of the treaty.

Under the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, adopted in 1969; signed by Canada, acceded to by Canada on 1970, and in force 1980, Canada, as a signatory to this Convention has been obliged to ensure the performance of treaties in the following ways:

- (i) "to establish conditions under which justice and respect for obligations arising from treaties can be maintained" (Preamble)
- (ii) to demonstrate, through the process of ratification (accession) of a Treaty, that the State has "established on the international plane its consent to be bound by a treaty" (Article 2)
- (iii) to observe that "every treaty in force is binding upon the parties to it and must be performed by them in good faith. (Article 26)
- (iv) to interpret a treaty by agreeing that "A treaty shall be interpreted in good faith in accordance with the ordinary meaning to be given to the terms of the treaty in their context and in the light of its object and purpose. (Article 31)

Consequently, Canada, through acceding to and ratifying treaties has undertaken to perform treaties in good faith, has established on the international plane its consent to be bound, and to establish conditions for the maintaining of justice and respect for obligations under treaties.`

This principle is reinforced throughout international customary law and extended to include the enacting of the legislation and laws necessary to ensure performance of treaty obligations.

The requirement to enact enabling legislation is evident in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. International customary law places a duty on states to adopt such legislative, judicial or other measures as may be necessary to give effect to international treaties.

In the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights—adopted 1976, signed and acceded to by Canada and in force in 1976, the principle of "duty-to-adopt-legislative ...measures" is enunciated;

Where not already provided for by existing legislative or other measures, each State Party to the present Covenant undertakes to take the necessary steps in accordance with its constitutional processes and with the provisions of the present Covenant, to adopt such legislative or other measures as may be necessary to give effect to the rights recognized in the present Covenant.

The principle is then further elaborated in the UN Resolution 37/7 World Charter of Nature (1982):

The principles set forth in the present Charter shall be reflected in the law and practice of each State, as well as a that international level (Article 14).

27. This principle is further entrenched in External Affairs policy in Canada by the constitutional convention of ensuring that necessary legislation is enacted before signing international treaties.

In an External Affairs document, "Canadian Reply to Questionnaire on Parliaments and the Treaty-making Power", which deals with Canada's responsibility related to international obligations, it is stated that Canada will "not normally become party to an international agreement until the necessary legislation has been enacted by the provinces".

In the "Canadian Reply to Questionnaire on Parliaments and the Treaty-making Power", the following references are made to international law and federal and provincial legislation:

If the existing laws of Canada (including Provincial and Federal Statutes, as well as the general rules of common law and the civil code of the Province of Quebec) do not confer upon the Government of Canada the capacity to discharge the obligations it proposes to undertake in a treaty, then it will be necessary for the appropriate legislative body, federal or provincial, to enact legislation to enable Canada to discharge its treaty obligations.

28. The "Canadian Reply to Questionnaire on Parliaments and the Treaty-making Power" appeared to ensure that the treaty would be performed either by enacting the necessary legislation prior to becoming a party:

Canada will not normally become a party to an international agreement which requires implementing legislation until the necessary legislation has been enacted.

or by-passing implementing legislation:

The point we wish to make here is that in Canada implementing legislation is only necessary if the performance of treaty obligations cannot be done under existing law or thorough executive action.

In either case, it would appear that Canada has indicated in this document that the necessary legislation will be in place in order to perform the obligations under the treaty.

In an internationally legally binding document such as the Biodiversity Convention, and the Framework Convention on Climate Change, either the enabling legislation was in place prior to signing the treaties, or Canada is bound to enact legislation to enable Canada to perform its Treaty obligations in such a way as to ensure that it will not defeat the purpose of the treaty. This external affairs convention has to also be considered in conjunction with article 18 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties. Canada it would appear would not be able to defeat the purpose of the treaty from the moment of signing, and in order to comply with this provision Canada would have to ensure that the necessary legislation would be in place to prevent Canada from defeating the purpose.

- 29. In the appeal the following questions related to obligations will be examined;
- i. If 2Canada followed the usual constitutional convention as indicated in the above provision, Canada will not normally become a party. until the necessary legislation has been enacted. Thus, we can assume from the federal point of view the Federal government believed that the necessary legislation to

ensure that Canada would not defeat the purpose of the Convention on Biological Diversity and of the Climate Change Convention. If prior to the moment of signing these conventions in June 1992 if the Federal government was not certain that the necessary legislation was in place to prevent the defeating of the purpose of the Conventions, then implementing legislation would have to have been in place in June 1992.

- ii. In the appeal it will be contended that the Biodiversity Convention and the Climate Change Convention are relevant to the injunctions given by Canadian courts to lumber companies. Canada, by its own conventions is liable to comply, in its judicial, executive and legislative actions.
- 30. The principles of ensuring legislation enacted or implementing necessary legislation for performance of treaties are further placed in a provincial context when the matters in the treaty are usually deemed to be within provincial jurisdiction.

The 1982 "Canadian Reply to Questionnaire on Parliaments and the Treaty-making Power" further indicated in reference to the ratification and accession to treaties:

A multilateral treaty dealing with matters within provincial jurisdiction would be signed by Canada only after consultation with the provinces had indicated that they accepted the basic principle and objectives of the treaty. Assurances would be obtained from the provinces that they are in a position, under provincial laws and regulations, to carry out the treaty obligations dealing with matters falling within provincial competence, before action is taken by the Government of Canada to ratify or acceded to such a treaty.

Canada thus only proceeds to ratify a treaty when the provinces have been consulted and the provincial laws and regulations are in place to carry out the treaty obligations.

31. The Applicant, Joan Russow contends that all levels of Canadian governments, whether federal or provincial, and whether legislative, executive or judicial should endeavour in good faith to comply with the Biodiversity Convention, the Framework Convention on Climate Change, and the UN Convention for the Protection of Cultural and Natural Heritage. An injunction is judge-made law. Just as Canadian legislators must ensure that their statutes reflect their international treaty obligations, so must judicially made law, such as injunctions. Arguments must be aired in the Court as to this injunction's contravention of international treaty obligations. The proof readily exists that the Biodiversity Convention and the Framework Convention on Climate Change are violated by the activities of MacMillan Bloedel in Clayoquot Sound. The threat to biodiversity from clearcutting in Clayoquot Sound was clearly recognized and found as fact by the scientific panel appointed by the Harcourt government to review their logging plans for Clayoquot Sound. The panel also recognized that the standards of international law should serve as a minimum (First Report from the Clayoquot Sound Scientific Panel, March 1994).

No branch of government and no other law-making authority has a higher obligation than judges to apply the whole of the law and ensure that their own judge made injunctions are complying with the international obligations of Canada. This examination for compliance with international law was not conducted for the series of injunctions which have been granted to MacMillan and Bloedel in Clayoquot Sound.

32 If the provinces have followed the External Affairs convention then they would have assured the federal government that the necessary legislation either was or would be in place to ensure not only the

fulfillment of obligations under the treaty but also the prevention of activities that could defeat the purpose of the treaty. If so, it can be presumed that the provinces will be equally responsible for fulfilling the obligations. The implications of this constitutional convention will be considered further in relation to the subsequent section dealing with the Labour Convention case which examines constitution provisions and treaties.

- 33. With internationally legally binding document such as the Biodiversity Convention or the Framework Convention on Climate Change it is necessary for the appropriate legislative body, federal or provincial, to enact legislation to enable Canada to discharge its treaty obligations, and in particular, from the moment of signing the Conventions in June 1992 so that nothing will defeat the purposes of the treaties.
- 34. It will be argued in appeal that in Canada the existence of a treaty obligation (under the legally binding Conventions on Biological Diversity and Climate Change) is sufficient to give rise to an "external affair". The legal issues to be addressed in this appeal have been eloquently addressed in a series of Commonwealth cases: including the cases from the High Court in Australia where the constitutional division of powers between the Commonwealth (Federal Government) and the State (Province) were examined, and the responsibility of the Commonwealth government to ensure compliance to the international obligations was recognized. The discussion of these cases and the principles that have been used will follow; the discussion will be further extended in the Appeal if in the Leave to Appeal Application on August 23, 1994 the Chambers deems that the principles and decisions, given the similar federal structure of the Australian Constitution, from the High Court of Australia are applicable. The Labour Convention case from Canada was referred to by the dissenting judge in the following case: Commonwealth of Australia and Another v State of Tasmania and Others of (C6 of 1983) High Court of Australia, Australian Law Reports 1983 pp. 625-831 Constitutional law (Franklin Note that in the 1937 Labour Convention Case, the Federal government referred to the Dam Case). Australian Case.

It was held in the Commonwealth of Australia and Another v State of Tasmania and Others of (C6 of 1983) High Court of Australia, Australian Law Reports 1983 pp. 625-831 Constitutional law (Franklin Dam Case): Note that in the 1937 Labour Convention Case, the Federal government referred to the Australian case.

1. Existence of a treaty obligation (as there was under the Convention) was sufficient (though not necessary) to give rise to an external affair; there was no additional, independent requirement that the subject-matter of the treaty be of international concern.

Note: Article 34 UN Convention on the Preservation of Cultural and Natural Heritage the following provisions shall apply to those federal or non-unitary states

- a) with regard to the provisions of this Convention, the implementation of which comes under the legal jurisdiction of the federal or central legislative power, the obligations of the federal or central government shall be the same as for those States Parties which are not federal states.
- b) With regard to the provisions of this convention, the implementation of which comes under the legal jurisdiction of individual constitutional system

The following was also held in the Franklin Dam Case by Mason:

Article 34 of the convention, the Federal clause, does not relieve Australia from performance of its obligations under the convention. Para (a) of the article makes it clear that in the case of a central legislative power possessing legal jurisdiction to implement the provisions of the convention. The state party to the convention has an obligation to implement the provisions of the Convention.

35. The role of the courts to determine particular provisions was also addressed in the Franklin Dam case:

In Airlines of NSW Pty Ltd v New South Wales (No. 2) (1965) 113 CLR 54, Barwick CJ said (at 86) that "... where a law is to be justified under the external affairs power by reference to the existence of a treaty or convention, the limits of the exercise of the power will be set by the terms of that treaty or convention, that is to say, the Commonwealth will be limited to making laws to perform the obligations or to secure the benefits which the treaty imposes or confers on Australia. Whilst the choice of the legislative means by which the treaty or convention shall be implemented is for the legislative authority, it is for this court to determine whether particular provisions, when challenged, are appropriate and adapted to that end."

the same view was expressed by Starke, Evatt and McKiernan JJ in Burgess (at 658, 688) and Menzies J in Airlines of NSW (No2) at 141.

Parliament's power to legislate so as to give effect to a treaty conforms to the approach which this court has adopted in deciding whether legislative controls designed to achieve an end within power are themselves within power. (p696)

36. In the Franklin Dam case it was held that the country has the responsibility of giving effect to the principle of international customary law:

Whether failure on the part of Australia to enact domestic legislation incorporating the rules in the convention ... the Convention did not impose an obligation in specific terms to enact domestic legislation of a particular kind. It may be said that the legislation was valid because it gave effect to the principles of customary international law as declared by the Convention. But if Australia became a party to a convention which enacted a new set of rules in relation to the territorial sea and the contiguous zone, but that convention did not attract sufficient support to constitute its provisions as principles of customary international law. domestic legislation giving effect to it would none the less still constitute a valid exercise of the power. [citing new South Wales v Commonwealth (the Seas and submerged Lands case (1975) 135 CLR 337; 8 ALR

37. In Commonwealth of Australia and Another v State of Tasmania and Others of (C6 of 1983) High Court of Australia, Australian Law Reports 1983 pp 625-831 the Court decided that all domestic law must conform to the treaty:

The law must conform to the treaty and carry its provisions into effect. The fact that the power may extend to the subject matter of the treaty before it is made or adopted by Australia, because the subject matter has become a matter of international concern to Australia, does not mean that Parliament may depart from the provisions of the treaty after it has been entered into by Australia and enact legislation which goes beyond the treaty or is inconsistent with it.

- 38. In the Franklin Dam case (1983), the principles established in the Koowarta v Bjelke-Petersen (1982) 56 ALJR 625: 39 ALR 417 (Koowarta Case), were referred to in the deciding opinion. These principles, cited below in the Australian Commonwealth case, are particularly relevant to the external affairs power of the Federal Government in relation to Provincial Governments:
- 39. In the Koowarta case the following principle was upheld that becoming a party to a convention entails the undertaking of actions that would discharge obligations under the Convention:

In the Koowarta v Bjelke-Petersen (1982) 56 ALJR 625: 39 ALR 417, decided as to the scope of the external affairs power because the correctness of Koowarta was common ground between the parties. There the validity of ss 9 and 12 of the Racial Discrimination Act 1974 (CTH) was upheld as an exercise of the power conferred by s 51 (xxix) of the Constitution on the footing that the enactment of the two sections was a discharge of Australia's obligation under the International Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Racial Discrimination. By becoming a party to that convention, Australia undertook to prohibit and eliminate racial discrimination in all its forms by appropriate means, including legislation. ...

Effect to an obligation imposed by international convention section gave effect to an obligation imposed by an international convention

40. In the Koowarta case the following principle was upheld that entering into a genuine treaty, the state assumes international obligations to enact domestic laws:

The Majority opinion was voiced by the following judges:

Stephen J. at 418.

There existed a quite precise treaty obligation on a subject of major importance in international relationships, which called for domestic implementation within Australia

Mason J. at 418

It would seem to follow inevitably from the plenary nature of the external affairs power that it would enable the parliament to legislate not only for the ratification of a treaty but also for its implementation by carrying out any obligation to enact a law that Australia assumed by the treaty

## Brennan J. at 418

If Australia in the conduct of its relations with other nations accepted a treaty obligation with respect to an aspect of Australia's internal legal order, the subject of the obligation thereby because (if it was not previously) an external affair, and a law with respect to that subject was a law with respect to external affairs.

- 41. The Labour Convention case was used by the dissenting judges at 434 but was not considered to be a relevant precedent. Even one of the Judges, Wilson, who alluded to the case stated at p. 480 that "The decision in that case, though not the accuracy of the observation to which I have referred was subjected to a good deal of criticism". The Majority of the Judges in the Koowarta case followed the principles enunciated in the R v Burgess: Ex parte Henry (1936) 55 CLR 608.
- 42. In the Koowarta case the changed role of international agreements was examined.

Stephen at 452 identified the changed role as being "national governments' increased concern regarding domestic observance of internationally agreed norms of conduct":

"So long as treaties departed little from their early nature as compacts between princes, having no concern with domestic affairs, the conflict was muted: but in the century international conventions

have come to assume a more extensive role. They prescribe standards of conduct for both governments and individuals having wide application domestically in areas of primarily regional concern, the very areas which, in federations, have tended to be entrusted to the legislative competence of the regional units of governments. This has necessarily exacerbated the problem which federations encounter in the implementation of international treaties while emphasizing the need for regional units in federations to recognize the legitimacy of national governments' increased concern regarding domestic observance of internationally agreed norms of conduct. "

Thus, areas of what are of purely domestic concern are steadily contracting and those of international concern are ever expanding (Stephen at 453).

post war growth in consensual international law (Stephen at 454)

What has occurred is rather a growth in the content of "external affairs". This growth reflects the new global concern for human rights and the international acknowledgment of the need for universally recognized norms of conduct particularly in relation to the suppression of racial discrimination (Stephen at 454)

43. In the Koowarta case there was also the recognition of the importance and binding nature of international customary law:

## Stephen at 456:

Even where Australia not a party to the Convention, this would not necessarily exclude the topic as a part of its external affairs. It was contended on behalf of the Commonwealth that, quite apart from the Convention, Australia has an international obligation to suppress all forms of racial discrimination because respect for human dignity and fundamental rights and thus the norm of non-discrimination on the grounds of race, is now part of customary international law, as both created and evidenced by state practices and as expounded by jurists and eminent publicists.

44. In the Koowarta case there was also the enunciation of the principle that if there exists a precise treaty obligation on a subject of major importance there should be domestic implementation.

## Stephen at 456:

In the present cases it is not necessary to rely upon this aspect of the external affairs power since there exists a quite precise treaty obligation on a subject of major importance in international relationships, which calls for domestic implementation within Australia.

#### Mason at 459:

It would seem to follow inevitably from the plenary nature of the power that it would enable the parliament to legislate not only for the ratification of a treaty but also for its implementation by carrying out any obligation to enact a law that Australia assumed by the treaty.

45. In the Appeal the R v Burgess: Ex parte Henry case of 1936 will be examined and compared to the Canadian Labour Convention case of 1937.

Even though judges acknowledged that in Australian Law treaties were not self-executing, they acknowledged the power to the Commonwealth to enact implementing legislation:

Mason 459 recognize that it is a well settled principle of the common law that a treaty not terminating a state of war has no legal effect upon the rights and duties of Australian citizens and is not incorporated into Australian law on its ratification by Australia (Chow Hung Ching v R (1948) ....not self-executing'

... to achieve this result the provisions have to be enacted as part of our domestic law, whether by Commonwealth or State statute. Section 51 (xxix, arms the Commonwealth Parliament with a necessary power to bring this about. So much was unanimously decided by the court in R v Burgess: Ex parte Henry (1936) 55 CLR 608.

There the power enabled the Commonwealth Parliament to legislate so as to incorporate into their law the provisions of the Paris Convention for the regulation of aerial navigation.

46. The recognition of the disturbing outcome of the fragmentation of power in relation to international treaties was made in the R. v Burgess case:

## Mason at 459 stated:

The consequence of the failure [ of the R. v Burgess: Ex parte Henry (1936)] would have been to leave the decision on whether Australia should comply with its international obligations in the hands of the individual States as well as the Commonwealth, for the commonwealth would then lack sufficient legislative power to fully implement the treaty. The ramifications of such a fragmentation of the decision-making process as it affects the assumption and implementation by Australia of its international obligations are altogether too disturbing to contemplate. Such a division of responsibility between the Commonwealth and each of the States would have been a certain recipe for indecision and confusion, seriously weakening Australia's stance and standing in international affairs. Fortunately, the approach in Burgess has since been confirmed by R v Poole; Ex parte Henry (no.2) 1939 61 CLR...

47. The appropriateness of ensuring that state responsibilities will be discharged. was recognized in the Koowarta Case:

## Mason at 462. stated:

doubtless the framers of the Constitution did not foresee accurately the extent of the expansion in international and regional co-operation which has occurred since 1900. ...There is no reason at all for thinking that the legislative power conferred by s 51 (xxix) was intended to be less than appropriate and adequate to enable the Commonwealth to discharge Australia's responsibilities in international and regional affairs.

48. It will be contended in the appeal that as in Australia, Canada must be able to commit the whole of Canada to giving effect to obligations:

## In the Koowarta case, Mason at 463 stated:

Increasing emphasis is given in the United Nations and in regional organizations to the pursuit by international treaties of idealistic and humanitarian goals. It is important that the Commonwealth should retain its full capacity through the external affairs power to represent Australia, to commit it to participation in these developments when appropriate and to give effect to obligations thereby undertaken.

49. In the Koowarta case, Mason at 466 and 467 recognized that Australia in common with other nations is bound to enact domestic legislation to enable the implementation of treaties:

Broadly speaking the test which they favoured was whether in substance the legislation carries out or gives effect to the Convention. (at 466)

On the broad view which I take of the power it extends to the implementation of the International convention on the... on the Elimination of all forms of Racial Discrimination. It is an international treaty to which Australia is a party which binds Australia in common with other nations to enact domestic legislation in pursuit of the common objective of the elimination of all forms of racial discrimination.

But I would go further and say that even on the more cautious expression of the scope of the power by Dixon in Burgess, it would extend to the implementation of the convention.

50. In the Koowarta case, Mason at 468 affirmed the imposition of and obligation and the consequences of not performing the obligation:

At the level of international law, the means chosen to attain this end was the formulation of the Convention. It imposes on each of the many parties to it an obligation to eliminate racial discrimination in its territory. The failure of a party to fulfill its obligations becomes a matter of international discussion, disapproval, and perhaps action by way of enforcement.

- 51. In the Koowarta case Murphy at 471 discussed "the obligations to take legislative measure...
- 52. Murphy at 472 dissolved the distinction between internal and external affairs:

Preservation of the world's endangered species, maintenance of universal standards of human rights are for Australia as well as other nations, internal as well as external affairs.

53. Murphy at 473 also affirmed the entitlement of the people to have legislation enacted that will fulfill obligations under a treaty:

the people of the States are entitled as well as obliged to have the legislative and executive conduct of those affairs which are part of Australia's external affairs carried out by the Parliament and executive Government of Australia.

- 54. A country could be in breach of an obligation imposed on it, if it failed to enact law. In the Franklin Dam in 1983, issues were raised related to external affairs power. In the appeal these issues will be discussed in relation to the Canadian/B.C / international legal obligations context:
- 55. In the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties which Canada adopted in 1969 there was the affirmation that, in addition to the duty to ensure that the necessary legislation has been enacted prior to signing a treaty, there is an obligation not to defeat the purpose of treaties.

Under Article 18 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, Canada is obliged to not defeat purpose and object of international conventions from the moment of signing the treaty or convention.

Canada signed (June 5,1992) and ratified (December, 1992) two legally binding Conventions: The Convention on Biological Diversity and the Framework Convention on Climate Change. Under Article

18 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969), Canada is obliged to "not defeat the object and purpose of a treaty prior to the entry into force". This provision in the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties would indicate that as of June 1992 Canada was bound not to defeat the purpose and object of both the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Framework Convention on Climate Change.

56. States are also bound not to create a situation which would make it impossible to fulfill the obligations under a treaty.

Canada is bound not to create a situation, such as the reduction and the loss of biodiversity in the coastal temperate rain forest ecosystems, the disappearance of significant carbon sinks, or the fragmentation of sites of outstanding universal value. All these situations would make it impossible to fulfill its obligations under the conventions.

Article 61 Supervening impossibility of performance

- 1. a party may invoke the impossibility of performing a treaty as a ground for terminating or withdrawing from it if the impossibility results from the permanent disappearance or destruction of an object indispensable for the execution of the treaty".
- 2. Impossibility of performance may not be invoked by a party as a ground for terminating, withdrawing from or suspending the operation of a treaty if the impossibility is the result of a breach by that party either of an obligation under the treaty or of any other international obligation owed to any other party to the treaty.

Canada, by not ensuring that the necessary legislation and enforceable law were in place to prevent activities that could defeat the purpose of the Conventions, could permanently "destroy ... an object indispensable ...' such as the biodiversity in significant old growth stands or the carbon sinks of the old growth forest. The reduction and loss of biodiversity, as well as the elimination of carbon sinks of old growth forest could be contributing to a situation that would make it impossible for Canada to fulfill its obligations under the Conventions. By continuing with clear-cut logging and fragmenting currently unfragmented areas, Canada through B.C.'s practices of fragmenting old growth forests may be creating a situation where the object (the pristine old growth forest of outstanding universal value) could fail to fulfill the criteria for being identified as World Heritage Site under the UN Convention for the Protection of Cultural and Natural Heritage (1972).

57. In the appeal the acceptability of Canada's current policy of preserving 12% of the land as parks will be questioned.

Evidence will be submitted that internationally at meetings such as the IUCN Annual General Meeting, the representatives from Parks Canada, including the Assistant Deputy Minister, did not admit that the 12% solution was Canadian Policy.

When it was mentioned in a contact group meeting — a meeting to discuss resolutions, that if we commend B.C., in the resolution, for its current conservation proposals and for the CORE process, that we would be endorsing the "12 % solution". Biologist, Elliot Norse, laughed and stated "Surely no country is still linking conservation to percentages". The representatives from

the Canadian government were not prepared to admit at that meeting that the "12 % solution" is Canadian and B.C. government policy.

Also, evidence will be submitted that will demonstrate that the current position of the IUCN on percentages and conservation, is that the linking should be avoided because it has no basis in biology or ecology, and that governments will use it as a minimum, and will justify preserving what has been referred to as "rock and ice" in lieu of significant ecosystems that are under demand from resources. (Comments made from the floor of the Annual General Meeting when a resolution linking percentages and conservation was being discussed.)

The reason that the position of the IUCN is significant is that the IUCN was the international organization that first linked the two in 1982, and continued to do this even up the Earth Summit. It has only been since the Earth Summit that the IUCN has recognized the way the percentage figures have been used. Groups like "Share B.C" have been using the linking of percentages to conservation to support the claim that "12% and no more". The notion of 12% can be used to legitimize the reduction of significant areas of biodiversity, such as the Clayoquot, and thus contribute to the defeating of the purpose of the Biodiversity Convention.

58. In the appeal, the degree of consultation and the nature of the subject matter of the Attorney-General for Canada v Attorney-general for Ontario Supreme Court of Canada A.C. 1937. (Hereafter referred to as the Labour Convention Case) will be distinguished from the degree of consultation and the nature of the subject matter current matter to be dealt with in the appeal. This Labour Convention case has been purported to be the precedent to support the claim, by provinces, that they are not bound by international Conventions signed by Canada.

It would appear that the Labour Convention case turned on two legal points:

- (i) the fact that the provinces on the matter in that case were not consulted prior to Canada's undertaking obligations under international law; criteria were established for consultation.
- (ii) the designation of "labour" issues as not fulfilling the criteria for invoking Article 91 Constitutional powers

In the Biodiversity Convention and the Framework Convention on Climate Change both sets of criteria were adhered to in a way that would make the decision in the Labour Convention case no longer applicable.

59. It will be submitted that the degree of consultation surrounding the "International Labour Convention" — the subject matter in the Labour Convention case can be distinguished from the degree of consultation surrounding the Biodiversity and Climate Change Conventions and UNCED adopted documents. In the latter there is evidence that B.C. was consulted prior to both the signing and the ratifying of the Biodiversity and Climate Change Conventions, as well as prior to the adoption of Agenda 21.

In the "Canadian Reply to Questionnaire on Parliaments and the Treaty-making Power" there is reference to a constitutional convention to consult provinces prior to signing and ratifying

Treaties and Conventions. It would appear that the Labour Convention case could be distinguished on the grounds that there was not consultation with the provinces during the negotiation process of the International Labour Convention. Unlike the Labour Convention, the negotiations surrounding the UNCED conventions, took place in Canada with the full consultation of the provinces. The provinces were fully consulted before the signing and ratifying of the Biodiversity and Climate Change Conventions. This commitment to consult is expressed as follows in the Canadian Reply to Questionnaire on Parliaments and the Treatymaking Power", 1982:

The practice of the Canadian Government, in cases where the subject matter of an international agreement falls either wholly or partly within provincial jurisdiction is to consult each of the provincial governments. The process of consultation is informal and is usually conducted by letters exchanged between the federal and provincial governments.

A multilateral treaty dealing with matters within provincial jurisdiction would be signed by Canada only after consultation with the provinces had indicated that they accepted the basic principle and objectives of the treaty. Assurances would be obtained from the provinces that they are in a position, under provincial laws and regulations, to carry out the treaty obligations dealing with matters falling within provincial competence, before action is taken by the Government of Canada to ratify or acceded to such a treaty. (Canadian Reply to Questionnaire on Parliaments and the Treaty-making Power", 1982).

60. It should be noted that there appears to be a serious inconsistency within the "Canadian Reply to Questionnaire on Parliaments and the Treaty-making Power" document. On the one hand the document calls for consultation with the provinces prior to signing, along with the assurances that the necessary legislation has already been enacted, and yet the document still considers the applicability of the Labour Convention case which the "Canadian Reply to Questionnaire on Parliaments and the Treaty-making Power" claims has never been overturned.

In the "Canadian Reply to Questionnaire on Parliaments and the Treaty-making Power" the following references were made to the Labour case:

The federal government is not entitled, merely because it has entered into a treaty, to legislate on matters that fall within the competence of the provinces. This is the effect of the Labour Conventions case. Attorney-General of Canada v. Attorney-General of Ontario (labour Conventions) (1937) A.C.

Although it has been argued that legislation to implement a treaty is within the federal power over the peace, order and good government of Canada, and that Section 132 should be interpreted in the light of changing circumstances, the Supreme Court of Canada has yet to rule on this question, which would involve a reconsideration of the reasoning in the Labour Conventions case. (Parliaments and the Treaty-making power —"Canadian Reply to Questionnaire on Parliaments and the Treaty-making Power")

61. In the Labour Convention case, criteria for being part of the decision-making process were proposed.

Several of the Counsels objected to the imposition of federal legislation to comply with international obligations because the provinces were not part of the decision. They cited the following aspects of being part of the decision: "cooperation", "obtaining advice", "obtaining consent" or "asking for approval":

• Cooperation (at 327)

...from her new international status Canada incurred obligations, they must, so far as legislation was concerned, when they dealt with Provincial classes of subjects, be dealt with by the totality of powers — by co-operation between the Dominion and the Provinces.

### • *Obtaining advice* (at 340)

said that in treaties affecting subject-matters within the legislative competence of the Provincial Legislatures and bring into operation the provisions of s 132 of the BNA act the King should have his assent on the advice of his Provincial advisers as distinct from his dominion advisers.

## • *Obtaining consent* (at 339)

The dominion has not brought the draft Conventions before the authority or authorities 'within whose competence the matter lies' and has not obtained the consent of those authorities as required by art 405., paras 5 and 7.

# • *Asking for approval* (at 331)

It is ordinary constitution al practice to ask the approval of the body which will, in the event of the engagement being entered into, have the power to enact the legislation.

62. In the Appeal it will be shown that B.C., through consultations with the Federal Government at the ministerial level prior to the signing and the ratifying of the treaties, B.C. was consulted through the Federal governments engaging in "cooperation", "obtaining advice", "obtaining consent" or "asking for approval":

On August 8, 1994, the Strategic Planning Committee of the Council of Ministers of the Environment was contacted by Appellant and asked to forward a chronology of the Federal /Provincial Consultation process that occurred leading up to the Earth Summit and the signing of the UNCED Conventions (June, 1992), and leading up to the ratification of the UNCED Conventions (December, 1992).

- 63. It is expected that this chronology from their Strategic Planning Committee will have been made available in time to present it at the Leave to Appeal hearing. This evidence which will be submitted will demonstrate that in reference to the Biodiversity Convention and the Framework Convention on Climate Change, the provinces were consulted prior to the signing of the Convention (at numerous Ministerial meetings at the Prep Coms leading up to June, 1992) and prior to ratification (November 23, 1992 meeting prior to the ratification of the document in December of 1992).
- It would appear that prior to signing and ratifying the Convention the Federal government consulted with the provinces and if the Federal government followed the External Affairs principle of ensuring that the necessary legislation was in place to enable performance of the treaty obligations under the Convention there had been opportunities during the consultation meetings to ensure that the necessary legislation was in place.
- 65. It would appear that B.C. played a significant role in the provincial endorsement of the UNCED Conventions by moving the endorsement at the November, 1992 Ministerial meeting, and by obtaining Cabinet support:

Jaime Alley, former representative for Corporate Affairs in the Ministry of the Environment said:

"that the provincial governments insisted on not being just another stakeholder in the consultation process but on having government to government consultation"

..."The Province endorsed the ratification. We agreed with Canada to ratify it. There was provincial endorsement. The move to endorse the Conventions was made by John Cashore, the then B.C. Minister of Environment" Cashore then went to Cabinet, sought their support and endorsement of the ratification and then stated that the Cabinet had approved the Conventions to the CCME meeting.

"Barbara MacDougal, wrote to all provincial constitutional ministers seeking their advice prior to ratification" "There was continuous consultation you need to contact the CCME for details"

(Personal Communication, August, 1994)

In a document obtained through the Freedom of information Act there was evidence of the Provincial cabinet endorsement for the ratification of the Biodiversity and Climate Change Conventions:

**EXHIBIT:** E "UNCED follow-up: Endorsement of International Convention on Climate change and Biological diversity" November 4, 1992.

- 67. Through the "UNCED follow-up: Endorsement of International Convention on Climate change and Biological diversity" November 4, 1992, there has been the B.C. Provincial cabinet endorsement of the Biodiversity and Climate Change Conventions.
- 68. In the Appeal it will be contended that B.C. through a letter prior to August 1992, the then Constitutional Minister of B.C., the Hon Moe Sihota conveyed by letter to the Hon. Barbara McDougall, The Secretary for External Affairs, B.C.'s support for the Biodiversity and Climate Change Conventions.

On August 29 the Hon Barbara McDougall, Minister of State for External Affairs wrote to the Hon Moe Sihota Minister responsible for Constitutional Affairs to acknowledge B. C's support and to indicate that Canada plans to complete the ratification process by the end of 1992 (P. 05 of FAX of Exhibit E)

[The Appellant has made an application (July 17, 1994) through the Freedom of Information Act for a copy of this correspondence.

69. In this Cabinet submission, dated November 4, 1992, the B.C. government affirmed that it was bound by the Biodiversity Convention and the Framework Convention on Climate Change:

Canada signed binding International Conventions on climate Change and Biodiversity and indicated its support for ...a "Global Green Plan" for sustainable development, entitled Agenda 21.

70. In the event that the Appeal Court will not concur that the Labour Convention case can be distinguished on the basis of the argument that there was sufficient consultation prior to the signing and the ratification of the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Framework Convention on Climate Change, then a subsequent argument will be presented that the subject matter "Biodiversity" and "Climate Change" could come under the residuary powers of Section 91 of the Constitution. At the appeal, the Labour Convention case will also be distinguished on the ground that the decision in that case followed the Supreme Court case "In the Matter of Legislative Jurisdiction over Hours of Labour,

[1925] Can. S.C. R. 505. where the Judge stated without discussion that "labour" issues" could come under the head of #13— "Property and civil Rights" or under # 16 "Local and Private Matters Within the Provinces". Thus, labour issues were not perceived to fulfill the categories outlined for justifying the invoking of residuary powers under Section 91. It will be submitted that the "International Labour Convention" — the subject matter in the Labour Convention case can be distinguished from the "Biodiversity" and "Climate Change" — the subject matter United Nations Convention on Environment and Development (UNCED).

71. In the Labour Convention case properties were set out for the designation of matters that could be deemed to come under residuary powers.

It would appear that "labour" issues' not warranting the invoking of residuary powers could be distinguished from "biodiversity" and "climate change issues because both the latter issues fulfilled most of the criteria or properties set down by the judges for determining inclusion in section 91. The subjects of "Biodiversity" and "Climate change" could be justifiably a subject that would not come under Section 92, and thus would fall to federal residuary powers. "Biodiversity" and "Climate Change" could be distinguished from labour on a number of grounds:

In the Labour Convention case Mr. Justice Atkin summarized the distinction between S 91 and S. 92 of the BNA act as follows:

section 91 under the general powers, sometimes called the residuary powers, given by s. 91 to the dominion parliament to make laws for the peace, order and good government of Canada in relation to all matters not coming within the classes of subjects by this act assigned exclusively to the legislatures of the provinces. p. 342 Atkin's judgment

In the Labour Convention case the criteria for determining whether the subject fell under section 92 were the following:

If the new functions affected the classes of subjects enumerated in s. 92 legislation to support the new functions was within the competence of the provincial legislatures only. If they did not the competence of the Dominion Legislature was declared by s 91 and existed ab origin.

It was decided in this case that Labour relations would quite legitimately be placed under the subjects in section 92. "Property and civil rights in the Province" which was assigned exclusively to the legislature of the Provinces by head 13 of s92 of the BNA Act.

It was noted in the Labour case at 328 that there must be some grounds for taking the subjects out [of 13 of s 92). The Court was not satisfied that the federal government had established sufficiently the grounds for taking the subject of "labour issues" out of the subject area in section 92, as noted above in the 1925, Supreme Court case.

72. In the Labour Convention case, Counsels for the Attorney General of Canada and for the Attorney Generals for the provinces referred to a number of properties of a subject which would enable the subject to be designated as a new function and then come under the residuary powers of section 91. It was decided that "labour relations" did not fit into this category. In the appeal an attempt will be made

to demonstrate that "biodiversity' and "Climate Change" are categories of subjects that could be deemed to invoke the residuary powers because these subjects would fulfill the properties advocated in the Labour Convention case, as well as in re: Regulation and Control of Radio Communication in Canada (Radio Case) (1932] A.C., p. 304 and in Re: The Regulation and Control of Aeronautics in Canada (Aeronautics Case) [1931] A.C. 1932, p. 54.

• New subject:

Counsel acting for the Attorney General of New Brunswick distinguished the Radio case on the ground that the subject matter in the radio case, in contrast to that of the Labour Convention Case, was a "new subject not embraced within the enumerated heads of s.92. (338.). It is quite likely that "biodiversity" and "climate change" would fall into this category. Biodiversity, and climate change are issues that transcend national, provincial and state boundaries are certainly new subjects that were not deemed to be limited to regional control.

- 73. It will be contended in the Appeal that Biodiversity and Climate Change because of the responsibility to not have activities under one jurisdiction impact on other jurisdiction would surely be considered to be activities that would come under "new subjects" and thus come under residuary powers of the federal government. If these two issues would be deemed as new subjects, then the federal government would be obliged to invoke its residuary powers and ensure that as of June 1992, no activities in Canada would defeat the purpose of these treaties. Consequently, federal government would be both entitled and bound to enact legislation that could override provincial legislation in the event that the provincial legislation would not be able to prevent the defeating of the purposes of the Conventions.
- Matter of "such general importance"

  Further, the present legislation was not concerned with matters of such general importance as to justify the overriding of the normal distinction of powers in SS 91 and 92 (head note of Labour Convention case)
- "Exceptional Circumstances" Mr. Justice Atkin at 353

EXHIBIT F: AFFIDAVIT: Affirmation of the urgency of the global situation

EXHIBIT F\* Exhibit submitted in the September 15 Application and presented in transcripts Statements from Royal Society, Science Council, presented before Mr. Justice Drake.

74. From the B.C. government's own "State of the Environment Reporting" document, 1993, the "importance" and "exceptional conditions" of biodiversity are stressed:

Biodiversity is the variety of life on the planet. It is important for a number of reasons. First, we have an ethical stewardship responsibility for other living things with which we share the planet. Second, high species diversity contributes to ecosystem stability. Third, biodiversity has immeasurable aesthetic value; provides food medicine and other products of enormous economic value; and generates critical ecosystem services essential to all life:

Standard of necessity" Mr. Justice Atkin at 353

75. From the B.C. government's own "State of the Environment Reporting" document the essence and necessity of Biodiversity is affirmed because of its links to other cycles

Biodiversity is essential to maintain ecosystem processes that support all life. these include; Maintaining the gaseous compositions of the atmosphere, climate control, regulating the hydrological cycle, generating and maintaining soils, cycling nutrients necessary for the growth of living things, and decomposing waste materials.

From the B.C. government's own "State of the Environment Reporting" document the B.C. government also recognized the importance of identifying species and its inability to assess the current state in B.C:

Genetic diversity enables species to adapt to changes in their environment over time. It is difficult and costly to measure genetic diversity and therefore difficult to assess its current state in B.C.

77. From the B.C. government's own "State of the Environment Reporting" document the importance of the biodiversity of coastal temperate rainforests is acknowledged

Trees in coastal temperate rain forests grow to very large sizes and exceptionally old ages. Such ecosystems have the highest standing biomass of any ecosystem on earth and provide for tremendous biodiversity. Coastal temperate rain forest occurs in a few scattered spots around the world, and are considered rare on a global scale. North America has the largest continuous tract of coastal temperate rain forest on earth, approximately half of which is in B.C.

• *Matters of national importance* at 335

Matters of national importance of such wide import as to affect the body politic of the dominion in the overriding way that was found in Russell v the Queen., if they were taken out of the specific heads of s. 92, then Ontario is satisfied to see this legislation supported. (at 335 Labour Convention case)

In the press release issued at the time of ratifying the Biodiversity Convention. Prime Minister Brian Mulroney indicated Canada' commitment:

to [fulfill] Canada's commitment to ratify the Convention before the end of 1992. Canada is the first industrialize country to ratify both agreements. The Convention which emerged from last June's Earth Summit in Brazil, exemplify a global commitment to the principles of sustainable development ...as embodied in Agenda 21 and agreed to at the Earth Summit.

the Convention on Biological Diversity provides a framework for conserving the planets animal and plant life and maintaining their habitats.

#### WHAT IS CANADA'S POSITION ON THE BIODIVERSITY CONVENTION?

Canada supports the international effort to conserve biodiversity. Canadian representatives participated fully in negotiations of the Convention and the federal provincial and territorial governments all believe that the Convention is a good basis for tackling this international problem.

• Extraordinary peril to the National life of Canada" Mr. Justice Atkin at 353
The federal government in its backgrounder to this press release at the time of ratification of the Biodiversity Convention on December 4, 1992, also affirmed without Biodiversity, "humanity's ability to survive is threatened".

What is biodiversity? Biodiversity provides the very foundation for human life and life support systems . without healthy and stable biological resources, humanity's ability to survive is threatened. (Press Release on Ratification of the Biodiversity Convention, Dec. 4, 1992)

- Canada is continuing to play an active international role in discussion about the Convention. It has been actively involved in preparing for the implementation of the Convention, by participating in all the UN meeting on biodiversity since the Earth Summit.
- the federal, provincial and territorial government in Canada are proud of Canada's leadership on the issue of biodiversity conservation. As one of the first countries to sign the Convention and the first industrialize country to ratify it, Canada has demonstrated its commitment and leadership to the world. we must of course, continue to ensure that our performance at home lives up [to] that commitment

From these statements both by the provincial government and by the federal government, it would appear that "biodiversity" is of "general importance".

• Not foreseen matters

Robertson, KC indicated p.340 (arguing the federal position]

One of the things upon which the parties were able to agree at confederation in respect of matters not provided for not foreseen, was that they were to go to the Dominion. One of the outstanding dangers at the time of confederation and to-day, is that of sectional interests and prejudices and private interests interfering with the good government of Canada as a whole. Reliance is placed on the residuary powers as conferring the performance of treaty obligations: ... Radio case (1932] A.C. 304, 311, 313.

Residual powers for environmental issues which are all pervasive, similar argument could be for climate change. The principle that could apply is the consequences cannot be controlled by a particular jurisdiction within Canada let alone even within Canada. The impact of anthropogenic actions on biodiversity and climate change are not "restrictable" to traditional jurisdictional boundaries. Presumably the largest unit of jurisdictional power should be in control of the fulfillment of obligations under the Convention.

• Importance which outweighs the civil right of the individual

Robertson K.C. supporting the federal position indicated:

When a matter has attained an importance, which outweighs the civil right of the individual, once it has reached that stage, then the civil right is lost sight of and the matter from an international aspect outshines it, and is the one to which attention should be directed. here an international obligation has arisen and it is the duty of Canada to see that obligations is performed Canada alone can perform it and Canada, therefore, in these particular circumstances and while the obligation endures, is the body to legislate because it is an international obligation. Is not the proper view that once Canada has properly created international obligations then it is necessary for the peace, order and good government of the Dominion that Canada should perform them? p. 341

Note: the reference to the aeronautics case were not in the original submission. They were, however, referred to in the oral submission. The following references and a subsequent page of documentation was written into the leave to the appellant's appeal book but not fully referred in the court submission

Note: Applicability of Aeronautics case at 71, AC 1931 cites propositions from Attorney General of Can. V Attorney General of B.C. AC 1930

- 1. the legislation of the parliament of the dominion, so long as it strictly relates to subjects of legislation expressly enumerated in s91, is of paramount authority at 71
- 2. the general power of legislation conferred upon the subjects expressly enumerated must be strictly confined to such matters as are unquestionably of national interest and importance. And must not trench on any of the subjects enumerated in s92, as within the scope of provincial legislation, unless these matters have attained such dimensions as to affect the body politic of the dominion.
- 4. there can be a domain in which Provincial and Dominion legislation may overlap, in which case neither legislation will be ultra-virus, if the field is clear, but if the field is not clear and the two legislations meet the dominion legislation must prevail at 73

it is obvious therefore that there may be cases of emergency where the dominion is empowered to act as a whole" also by reason of the plain terms of \$132

78. In the Appeal the relevance of Section 132 of the B.N.A. Act will be reconsidered in the light of the fact that it is the only section that does refer explicitly to obligations under treaties.

The Parliament and government of Canada shall have all powers necessary or proper for performing the obligations of Canada or of any Province thereof, as part of the British Empire, towards foreign countries arising under treaties between the Empire and such foreign countries. (Section 132, B.N.A.)

In the "Aeronautics case" s. 132 was still deemed to be relevant.

# At 73, Mr. Justice Swanky held that

by reason of the plain terms of s 132, where Canada as a whole having undertaken an obligation is given the power necessary and proper for performing that obligation

#### at 70 also held:

The underlying objective of the BNA Act was to establish a system... the real object of the Act was to give the central Government those functions and almost sovereign powers by which uniformity of legislation might be secured on all questions which were of common concern to all provinces as members of a constituent whole

### at 73 he stated

there may be cases where the dominion is entitled to speak for the whole...by reason of plain terms of S 132 where Canada as a whole having undertaken an obligation is given the power necessary and proper for performing that obligation.

In the 1992, United Nations Convention on Environment and Development (UNCED) Action plan, Agenda 21, in the Biodiversity Chapter 15, — a positive duty is assumed by governments adopting Agenda 21:

" At the same time, it is particularly important in this context to stress that states have the sovereign right to exploit their own biological resources pursuant to their environmental policies, as well as the responsibility to conserve their biodiversity and use their biological resource sustainably, and to ensure that activities within their jurisdiction or control do not cause damage to the biological diversity of other States or of areas beyond the limits of national jurisdiction. " (15.3. Agenda 21).

It would appear that it would be possible in the light of "changing circumstances" that a Convention such as the Biodiversity Convention which deals with a phenomenon that does not respect proprietary divisions; that subject areas such as biodiversity and climate change would come under federal purview. In this context it could be argued that biodiversity because of the responsibility to not have activities under jurisdiction impact on other jurisdiction that biodiversity would come under section 132 which bestows upon the federal government overriding powers, in the light of changing circumstances — which in this case would be the pervasiveness of biodiversity. In 1867, no one was thinking of incurring environmental obligations.

79. At the Appeal, evidence will be presented to indicate the assessment of Canada's responsibility to other states for B.C.'s practices:

At the IUCN (World Heritage Union) 1994 Annual General meeting of the IUCN Commission on Environmental Law, the question of Federalism and International Law was raised. In particular, the Appellant raised the question about the responsibility under a treaty of the federal state when there is non-compliance within the sub-unit. As a specific example, Canada's responsibility for B.C.'s actions were raised. Several of the lawyers, some of whom had served as advisers to the International Court of Law or to the United Nations, concurred that Canada could be held responsible under the Conventions to other countries, if through B.C.'s actions Canada was in non-compliance with international legal obligations under the two Conventions signed at UNCED.

80. In the Appeal it will be noted that under the Convention of Law of Treaties, Canada has been obliged not to invoke internal law to justify failure to perform international obligations.

Under Article 27 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, Canada is bound to not invoke Internal law to justify failure to perform a treaty:

A party may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform a treaty.

Neither the internal law of the B.C. Government's land use decision regarding Clayoquot Sound, nor the internal law of judicial injunctions justifies failure to meet the provisions within the Conventions.

81. In the Appeal, it will be argued that as a result of MacMillan Bloedel's applying for an injunction and the Court's granting this injunction, the Courts have permitted the continuation of practices that are in violation of the Biodiversity and Climate Change Conventions. The Courts have inadvertently encouraged non-compliance with international law.

In addition, Canada, if not having notified otherwise, is bound by what occurs in B.C.

Under Article 29 of the Convention of Law of Treaties, "territorial scope of treaties", Canada is bound throughout its territory including all provinces and territories:

Unless a different intention appears for the treaty or is otherwise established, a treaty is binding upon each party in respect of its entire territory.

If Canada has expressed a different intention, then it is important that the other states of the world know that when Canada signs an international agreement in areas over which provinces have jurisdiction, the provinces are not bound. Citizens from countries that have endorsed the self-executing principle related to international law presume that if Canada signs and ratifies a treaty that the treaty obligations are binding on all the parts of the country including provinces and territories

**EXHIBIT:** G Affidavit from a citizen from the US — a country that has endorsed the self-executing principle.

82. It will be contended that Canada has been in non-compliance since June 1992 (UNCED) because B.C. forest practices have been in contravention of provisions in the Conventions, and Canada has thus defeated the purposes of the Conventions.

83. It will be submitted in the Appeal that it can be demonstrated not only that Canada through the practices of B.C. has been defeating the purpose of the Conventions signed in June, 1992 at UNCED, but also that Canada has been defeating the purpose of another Convention that is particularly relevant to Clayoquot and the injunction granted to MacMillan Bloedel: the UN Convention for the Protection of Cultural and Natural Heritage (1972).

The UN Convention for the Protection of Cultural and Natural Heritage was signed in 1972. Canada has been obligated to identify and nominate sites as being sites of "outstanding universal value". Canada and B.C. have been remiss in not identifying and nominating an extensive network of ancient coastal temperate rainforests, including Clayoquot Sound as being a natural heritage of "outstanding universal value".

84. From the B.C. government's own "State of the Environment Reporting" document the importance of the biodiversity of coastal temperate rainforests is acknowledged:

Trees in coastal temperate rain forests grow to very large sizes and exceptionally old ages. Such ecosystems have the highest standing biomass of any ecosystem on earth and provide for tremendous biodiversity. Coastal temperate rain forest occurs in a few scattered spots around the world, and are considered rare on a global scale. North America has the largest continuous tract of coastal temperate rain forest on earth, approximately half of which is in B.C.

- 85. Canada has failed to comply with the Biodiversity Convention and the Climate Change Convention because B.C. since the moment of signing the Biodiversity and Climate Change Conventions has continued to log in an ecologically unsound way, such as clearcutting primary growth coastal temperate rain forests.
- 86. Canada has contravened the Convention because, B.C. since June 1992 has defeated the purpose of Biodiversity Convention by having failed to identify biodiversity

Under the Convention the parties are required "to identify biodiversity"

At the ratification of the Biodiversity Convention in the December 4, 1992, speech by Prime Minister Mulroney, he informed the public of about the state of identification of species in Canada and admitted that there were an equally large number not reported:

Canada is one of the largest countries in the world and is home to about 70,000 known species and many different habitats. However, many of Canada's ecosystems are threatened.

Biodiversity the web of life (environment Canada publication)

A total of just over 70,000 species of animals, plants and micro-organisms have been described or reported to occur in Canada. The same number remain undescribed or unreported by science. If viruses are added, the total is doubled to 290,000

Canada may claim to be complying with the Biodiversity Convention by indicating that they are developing a "Canadian Biodiversity Strategy" (see draft document June, 1994); however, even by its own admission:

the Status of Biodiversity is also not fully understood. As many as half of the estimated 140,000 species in Canada have not yet been identified.... and that only vertebrates and vascular plants are

being evaluated.... The status of most of Canada's species such as fungi, bacteria and invertebrates -- all of while play crucial roles in ecosystem function — is not known. ....

Yet Canada, through ecologically unsound practices in B.C. continue to log primary forest ecosystems that contain the biodiversity that will be lost before it is identified.

From the B.C. government's own "State of the Environment Reporting" document the B.C. government also recognized the importance of identifying species and its inability to assess the current state in B.C:

Genetic diversity enables species to adapt to changes in their environment over time. It is difficult and costly to measure genetic diversity and therefore difficult to assess its current state in B.C.

British Columbia had not sufficiently identified biodiversity at the time of signing the Convention, and British Columbia has continued to permit practices that contribute to the loss of biodiversity. In the event of the government's own admission that it is virtually impossible to identity species; it should not defeat the purpose of the Biodiversity Convention by ensuring that the storehouses of biodiversity not be logged.

88. Canada since June 1992 has defeated the purpose of Biodiversity Convention through B.C.'s having failed to carry out an environmental assessment review of anything that could contribute to a reduction or loss of biodiversity,

The former Canadian Ambassador for the Environment to the United Nations, Arthur Campeau, who was the head of the Canadian Delegation at UNCED concurred that Canada had been in non-compliance with the Convention because of Canada's failure to carry out an environmental assessment review of anything that could contribute to a reduction or loss of biodiversity [such as clear-cut logging and other ecologically unsound practices]. (Personal communication, March 1994)

In jurisdictions where an environmental impact assessment has been carried out, practices, typical of those carried out currently in BC forests, have been assessed as being destructive of biodiversity. For example, a German biologist, Dr. Schutt, specializing in biodiversity indicated the following about "clearcutting":

The practice of clearcutting, followed by artificial reforestation has undoubtedly many technical and organizational advantages. In the course of time, however, soil scientists and ecologists found out that the practice of clearcutting automatically leads to considerable drawbacks:

- -wounding of the soil surface through logging operations.
- Risk of erosion -High irradiation and higher climatic extremes alter the microclimate, the flora and the microflora and deteriorate the growing conditions for a number of valuable tree species. -
- -Soil compression and a reduction of species richness
- -An accelerated decomposition of organic matter occurs, combined with a wash out of nutrients, and the eutrophication of ground water, rivers and lakes occur
- (Dr. Schutt, Biological Department, University of Munich, Environmental Ethics Conference, 1992, Vancouver)
- 89. Canada since June 1992 has defeated the purpose of Biodiversity Convention through B.C. government's and its courts' having failed to avoid or minimize the threat of significant reduction or loss of biological diversity through their not invoking the precautionary principle which reads as follows:

. where there is a threat of significant reduction or loss of biological diversity, lack of full scientific certainty should not be used as a reason for postponing measures to avoid or minimize such a threat (Convention on Biological Diversity)

As of June 1992, Canada undertook the obligation under the Biodiversity Convention to invoke the precautionary principle.

At the ratification of the Biodiversity Convention in the December 4, 1992, speech by Prime Minister Mulroney indicated his awareness of the loss of biodiversity and in particular the impact of modern forestry practices:

Biodiversity is being threatened directly and indirectly by human activity such as

(i) Destruction of wildlife habitat

the conversion of natural areas, on land and at sea, to other uses destroys disrupt animal and plant habitat. Such loss of habitat leads directly to the loss of species. ...

- (ii) Over-exploitation of animal and plant species
- (iii) Disturbances of natural ecosystems

Each of the world' ecosystems consist of a community of animals, plants and micro-organisms and the sunlight, water, soil and minerals they need to survive. These ecosystems exist in a delicate balance, with each piece of the puzzle playing a specialized role. Any disruption of the balance can cause a ripple effect of disruptions, threatening the entire ecosystem and individual parts of it...

- (1v) Modern agricultural and forestry practices
- ...similarly, modern forestry often replants a single high-yielding tree species after logging a diverse forest ecosystem

Any human activity that has a negative effect on the environment has a negative effect on biodiversity. Undoubtedly the "modern forestry practices" he was referring to were the silviculture regimes of clearcutting and replanting.

90. It will be brought to the attention of the judges in the Appeal that experts throughout the world recognize that the practice of clear-cut logging destroys biodiversity, and that if Canada were to invoke the precautionary principle, clear-cut logging and other ecologically unsound selective logging practices would be discontinued.

There is sufficient evidence that clear-cut logging destroys biodiversity as defined under the convention. Dr. Richard Mittemeir, President of Conservation International, has correctly, stated that the precautionary principle, if invoked, would justify the banning of clear-cut logging (Personal Communication, IUCN Annual General Meeting, 1994)

- 91. Canada, since June 1992, is in non-compliance with the Framework Convention on Climate Change through B.C. 's failure to conserve carbon sinks, and through B.C.'s destruction of sinks, Canada has defeated the purpose of the Convention.
- B.C. since June 1992 has defeated the purpose of Climate Change Convention by having failed to protect carbon sinks; it has continued to permit the harvesting in significant carbon sinks like primary coastal temperate rainforest such as those of Clayoquot Sound.

Under the Framework Convention on Climate Change Canada is required to protect and enhance Greenhouse gas sinks and reservoirs:

Each of these Parties shall adopt national policies and take corresponding measures on the mitigation of climate change, by limiting its anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases and protecting and enhancing its greenhouse gas sinks and reservoirs.

92. Canada, through B.C. 's not fulfilling its duty to identify, protect and conserve natural heritage of outstanding universal value, such as Coastal temperate rainforest areas like Clayoquot Sound, has since 1972, when it signed the UN Convention for the Protection of Cultural and Natural Heritage, failed to discharge its obligation to protect the natural heritage of outstanding universal value for future generations under the United Nations Convention for the Protection of Cultural and Natural Heritage:

Each State Party to this Convention recognizes that the duty of ensuring the identification, protection, conservation, presentation and transmission to future generations of the cultural and natural heritage referred to in articles 1 and 2 and situated on its territory, belongs primarily to that State. (United Nations Convention for the Protection of Cultural and Natural Heritage, 1972)

In the UN Conference on Humans and Environment of 1972, the requirement to preserve our environmental heritage and the requirement to save a representative sample of natural ecosystems for future generations were being recognized:

The natural resources of the earth including the air, water, land, flora and fauna and especially representative samples of natural ecosystems must be safeguarded for the benefit of present and future generations (Principle 2)

Man has a special responsibility to safeguard and wisely manage the heritage of wild life and its habitat which are now gravely imperiled by a combination of adverse factors (Principle 4),

This international obligation was reaffirmed in the UN Resolution 37/7 (1982):

Reaffirming that man must acquire the knowledge to maintain and enhance his ability to use natural resources in a manner which ensures the preservation of the species and ecosystems for the benefit of present and future generations, (UN Resolution 37/7, 1982)

In 1988 the trend gravity of the situation for "generations to come" was recognized by the Science Council of Canada

#### THE ECOLOGICAL CRISIS

The continuing and accelerating deterioration of the planet's ecological base poses a significant threat to the long-term viability of our world. Evidence concerning global warming, ozone depletion, species depletion and elimination, the spread of the deserts, forest destruction, soil degradation, acidified lakes, rivers and streams, and groundwater pollution exists in abundance in the scientific literature. (1988, Science council of Canada)

Much of the evidence is subject to many qualifications and even scientific debate, but the overall trend and its gravity for our planet, to its multitude of species and to the generations to come, are beyond question. (11)

This Commitment to future generations was restated in the Caracas Declaration in February 1992:

To support the development of national protected area policies which are sensitive to customs and traditions, safeguard the interest of indigenous people, take full account of the roles and interests of both men and women, and respect the interests of children of this and future generations (Caracas Declaration in February 1992, p.3)

It will be noted that throughout the UNCED documents there was expressed the responsibility to future generations.

The Principle of considering the need to preserve ecological heritage for future generations, because of its continued inclusion in international documents has become a principle of international customary law.

Not only has Canada been remiss in not ensuring compliance to this principle of international customary law, but also since June 1992, Canada is in non-compliance with both the Biodiversity Convention and the Climate Change Convention for failing to conserve and sustainably use biological diversity for future generations. Under the Biodiversity Convention, Canada has indicated its determination to do the following:

"to conserve and sustainably use biological diversity for the benefit of present and future generations (Biodiversity Convention, UNCED, 1992)

In the appeal there will be an affidavit indicating the impact on the youth of governments undertaking obligations and not fulfilling them

**EXHIBIT:** H Affidavit by Christopher Scott along with affidavits from representatives of future generations

In the appeal there will be an affidavit indicating the impact on the youth of governments undertaking obligations and not fulfilling them

**EXHIBIT**: I Affidavit by Susan Gage

Since 1972 Canada has been remiss in not fulfilling its duty under the UN Convention for the Protection of Cultural and Natural Heritage (1972)

In 1972, through this Convention, Canada, along with the other states that are parties to this Convention, recognized the urgent need to address the disappearance of natural heritage and acknowledged the global responsibility to preserve natural heritage:

Considering that parts of the cultural or natural heritage are of outstanding interest and therefore need to be preserved as part of the world heritage of [humankind] as a whole (Convention for the Protection of the World cultural and Natural Heritage, preamble, 1972).

Considering that in view of the magnitude and gravity of the new dangers threatening them, it is incumbent on the international community as a whole to participate in the protection of the cultural and natural heritage of outstanding universal value... (Convention for the Protection of the World cultural and Natural Heritage, preamble, 1972)

Under Article 4 of this Convention, Canada undertook a positive duty of ensuring the identification of natural heritage:

Each State Party to this Convention recognizes that the duty of ensuring the identification, protection, conservation, presentation and transmission to future generations of the cultural and natural heritage referred to in articles 1 and 2 and situated on its territory, belongs primarily to that State. It will do all it can to this end, to the utmost of Its own resources and where appropriate with any international assistance and co-operation ...

and under article 5 d the appropriate legal measures must be taken to ensure identification:

- To take the appropriate legal, scientific, technical, administrative and financial measures necessary for the identification, protection, conservation, presentation and rehabilitation of this heritage. (Article 5d)

Canada, and B.C. have failed to fulfill their duties by not identifying a network of coastal temperate rainforests, including Clayoquot Sound, and nominating this network as a World Heritage Site. In 1981, Australia, nominated a large area of its temperate rainforest, and in 1993 nominated an additional network of temperate rain forests. With the continued fragmentation of the forests in B.C. If we do not act immediately it will be too late to nominate a network of temperate rainforests and conservation corridors because the forested areas in B.C. will no longer be able to fulfill the criteria for being designated as a World Heritage site.

94. In the Appeal the Franklin Dam case will be examined in relation to the UN Convention for the Protection of Cultural and Natural Heritage

In the Franklin Dam case, the area under dispute — a network of temperate old growth forest had already been proposed in 1981 by the state and confederation governments as a World Heritage Site. The network was inspected by the IUCN, the World Conservation Union, which is the body responsible for determining if a proposed site fulfills the criteria for protection as a World Heritage Site.

Although Clayoquot Sound has not yet been nominated by B.C and Canada as a World Heritage site, it was part of a proposal contained in a resolution passed at the 1994, Annual General Meeting of the IUCN. The proposed network was deemed to fulfill the criteria for nomination, even though B.C. and Canada have not undertaken to nominate a network of old growth temperate rainforest as a World Heritage Site.

A network of temperate rainforests including Clayoquot Sound would fulfill the criteria for nomination as a world heritage site.

- 95. A network of old growth temperate rainforests, including Clayoquot Sound would fulfill the following criteria for inclusion in the World Heritage list:
  - (ii) be outstanding examples representing significant ongoing geological processes... biological evolution and man [human] interaction with his [its]

natural environment; as distinct from the periods of the Earth's development, this focuses upon ongoing processes in the development of communities of plants and animals, landforms and marine areas and fresh water bodies;

- (iii) contain superlative natural phenomena formations or features for ... outstanding examples of the most important ecosystems, areas of exceptional natural beauty or exceptional combinations of natural and cultural elements;
- (iv) containing the most important and significant natural habitats where threatened species of animals or plants of outstanding universal value form the point of view of science or conservation still survive
- 96. The IUCN (the World Conservation Union) the organization that has been given the responsibility under the UN Convention for the Protection of Cultural and Natural Heritage, passed a resolution at the January 1994 Annual General Meeting; This resolution called upon B.C. to preserve and nominate a network of temperate coastal rainforests taking into consideration the proposals by the Western Canada Wilderness Committee (whose proposal included Clayoquot Sound).
- 97. A resolution on North American Coastal Temperate Forests "19.72REV2 North American Coastal Temperate Forests") was passed by the IUCN General Assembly meeting at Buenos Aires, Tuesday, January 25, 1994. The IUCN is an international organization of state, professional and non-governmental representation from over 125 countries. In order to pass the resolution has to pass the two houses: the state house composed of state representation from 125 countries and the NGO house with representation from the same countries. This resolution was passed with only one country abstaining, Canada.

This resolution recognized the uniqueness of the area:

UNDERSTANDING that many endemic and unusual plants and animals occur only in these forests; and that in biomass productivity, the old growth forests (ancient forests) of this biome are unequaled anywhere;

This resolution also acknowledged that on Vancouver Island forest practices have been the cause of the disappearance of these forests:

MINDFUL of the fact that such ancient forests on Vancouver Island and on the mid-coast of British Columbia are disappearing at a rapid rate as a result of practices that have, to date, not been ecologically sustainable;

This Resolution also called upon the governments of Canada and B.C. to consult with groups like the Western Canada Wilderness Committee (WCWC) about networks of protected areas. The network proposed by WCWC does include Clayoquot Sound.

UNDERSTANDING that the Raincoast Conservation Society, the Sierra Club, and the Western Canada Wilderness Committee have proposed a large network of protected areas, including conservation corridors, in areas of such ancient forests on Vancouver Island and the midcoast of British Columbia;

#### **IUCN**

2. CALLS UPON the Governments of Canada and British Columbia to substantially expand the amount of land in networks of protected areas, with conservation corridors, on Vancouver Island

and the midcoast of British Columbia, taking into consideration the recommendations of environmental groups active in the regions such as the Raincoast Conservation Society, the Sierra Club and the Western Canada Wilderness Committee;

## **EXHIBIT:** J 19.72REV2 North American Coastal Temperate Forests

98. In the Appeal it will be pointed out that the Western Canada Wilderness Committee proposal for a network does include Clayoquot Sound.

**EXHIBIT:** K Information to be submitted by the Western Canada Wilderness Committee. Copy of vision of Vancouver Island.

- 99. This appeal will also refer, as was done in the original September 15 submission to Mr. Justice Drake, to the Common Law Doctrine of Legitimate Expectations. If this doctrine were applied it could be argued that citizens of Canada have a legitimate expectation that Canada will fulfill its international legal obligations.
- 100. Citizens of B.C. have the right to expect that B.C. as part of Canada will fulfill international obligations undertaken by Canada after consultation with B.C.:

In Re: Canada Assistance plan (Canada) 1991 (2SCR at 525), the B.C. government recognized the importance of using the Doctrine of Legitimate Expectation in a dispute with the Federal Government. Even though at the Supreme Court of Canada, the B.C. argument was dismissed, the case supports the contention that the Government of British Columbia, including the Attorney General's office endorsed the doctrine.

Canada has continually conveyed its professed concern for the environment in a way that should entitled Canadians to expect actions that reflect this concern. For example, in the preface of Canada's National Report, which was submitted to the Earth Summit in Rio, the Canadian government gave the impression that Canadians were "stewards" observing their "environmental responsibility":

as stewards of a vast and beautiful land, and as a people intimately connected to the environment, Canadians are aware of their environmental responsibilities. (Canada's National Report, Preface)

And further in the section on the "quality of life", the Canadian government stated

As a small population with a large land mass, Canadians have access to relatively unspoiled wilderness areas rich in wildlife ... Canada has an international reputation as a beautiful, safe and mostly unspoiled country. (Canada's National Report, p.49)

If the government of Canada continues to convey the impression to the global community, through official Internationally circulated documents, that Canadians are concerned about being "stewards" of a "relatively unspoiled wilderness", then the citizens of Canada should legitimately expect that Canada will fulfill this obligation.

There is a maxim of equity which states that "Equity imputes an intention to fulfill an obligation."

This maxim was reaffirmed by the former Ombudsman of British Columbia, Steven Owen:

To create an expectation is an empty gesture without a promise to fulfill it. Before creating an expectation, an organization must assure itself of its ability to fulfill the promise it implies" (Introduction, Ombudsman Annual Report, 1991)

- 101 Citizens of Canada can justifiably expect that Canada will adhere to international principles that are part of international agreements signed by Canada, and citizens of Canada can justifiably expect that the courts of Canada will abide by international commitments undertaken by Canada.
- 102. The Prime Minister, Brian Mulroney, in his address to the General Assembly at the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro, 12, June, 1992, indicated the following commitment to the international community:

Our generation has seen our planet from space. We know its beauty and we understand our fragility. We know that nature is part of us as we are a part of nature.

Canada's national soul breathes its life from or forests and plains and mountains and lakes. Our native peoples depend on the environment for their spiritual sustenance and material well-being. Canadians are the stewards of 10 per cent of the world's forests. ...

For Canada, sustainable development is not a slogan it is a prerequisite of our prosperity and a safeguard of our identity. It is also the standard of our responsibility. ...

We are the leaders. We must assume our responsibilities to our own peoples, to each other and to history. We are here to commit our governments to action. The prevention of global climate change and the preservation of the world's animal and plant species is on the top of our agenda.

Countries have a right to manage their forest resources, and humanity has a right to expect that those management decisions will be ecologically wise. Canada wants clear guidelines, on which we all can agree, and a binding international convention which codifies our rights as well as our responsibilities.

... the agreements on climate change and biodiversity require urgent and constructive follow-up...

As political leaders, our job is to force the pace and stretch out the limits of international cooperation. the nations gathered here today have the human genius to create a world free from deprivation and secure from degradation. What remains is for governments to provide the leadership the world so desperately needs.

Let us find that will and marshal it to the task at hand on behalf of the five billion people we represent

Our children, the Rio generation will be our judges and our beneficiaries.

103. In the press release issued at the time of ratifying the Biodiversity Convention in December, 1992. Prime Minister Brian Mulroney conveyed Canada's recognition of the importance of the Convention:

The Convention which emerged from last June's Earth Summit in Brazil, exemplify a global commitment to the principles ... as embodied in Agenda 21 and agreed to at the Earth Summit. the Convention on Biological Diversity provides a framework for conserving the planets animal and plant life and maintaining their habitats.

104. Not only has the previous Conservative government conveyed to the global community its commitment to "provide the leadership the world so desperately needs", but also the current Liberal government conveyed the impression to the citizens of Canada that it would demonstrate

leadership by ensuring that international obligations under the UNCED Conventions would be adhered to in the case of Clayoquot.

[The Liberal party in its pre-election promises, affirmed that it would preserve Clayoquot Sound to be preserved by making it part of Pacific Rim national Park.]

105. The Appeal will not only address the obligations under legally binding documents but also those under globally adopted documents. The fulfillment of these obligations also draws upon the Common law Doctrine of Legitimate Expectations.

Not only was there evidence of Canada's and B.C. 's agreement at the UN Conference on the Environment and Development (UNCED) to the legally binding documents but also to the globally adopted document such as Agenda 21.

- 106. In the Appeal evidence will be supplied that the representatives from the B.C. government, the Assistant Deputy Minister of Forests, Wes Cheston, and from the Commission on Resources and Environment, Commissioner Steven Owen indicated B.C.'s commitment to fulfill the obligations under all the UNCED documents, the legally binding as well as the globally adopted. This evidence was referred to in a report on an inquiry requested by the appellant into how B.C. was going to be complying with UNCED obligations. Scotty Gardiner, the Senior Investigator in Resource Issues in the Ombudsman's office, stated that he would not release the information, that the information cannot be requested through the Freedom of Information Act, and that the ombudsman's office and officers are not "compellable" to appear in court to testify.
- 107. In the Ombudsman's Report from Senior Investigator of Resource Issues, (Russow/Gage Complaint and Inquiry, File No. 91 06247) April 1993, to a request for an inquiry into how the B.C. government was going to fulfill its obligations under UNCED, the Senior investigator from the Ombudsman's office, in his report on the inquiry responded indicating that he had received confirmation of the government's commitment to comply with International agreements from UNCED:.

## Compliance with International Agreements.

Direct personal discussions were held with Mr. Cheston, Assistant Deputy Minister of Operations Division, Ministry of Forests, and Mr. Owen, Commissioner on Resources and Environment. Both Mr. Cheston's and Mr. Owen's responsibilities reflect the government's priority for those issues of concern to you...

From these meetings, as well as from additional discussions with senior staff from the Ministry of Forests and the Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks, we have determined that BC intends to comply with the agreements signed at the UNCED in June 1992.

He does not specify that the government will comply with only the legally binding Conventions; it would thus appear from his statement that the government will be complying with other globally adopted documents, such as Agenda 21. Through this statement the Provincial government has demonstrated its intention to adhere to principles from Agenda 21, the Rio Declaration and the Biodiversity Convention.

When asked whether a copy of these expressed commitments could be made available for the Leave to Appeal hearing, the Senior Investigator responded that he would not release the information. A request to proceed through the Freedom of Information Act, was denied and the senior investigator indicated that only the documents submitted to the office by the complainant are accessible to the complainant and that none of the other documents could be released. The Ombudsman's office is beyond the Freedom of information Act. It is thus difficult to confirm part of the commitment because Cheston was recently released from his position. Steven Owen has been contacted. His response will be included in the Appeal.

108. Agenda 21 is a comprehensive plan of action which was adopted by the members of the United Nations participating in UNCED. There are numerous principles that form an intrinsic part of this document.

There is throughout the document a consistent recognition of the urgency of the global situation.

Humanity stands at a defining moment in history. We are confronted with a perpetuation of disparities between and within nations, a worsening of poverty, hunger, ill health and illiteracy, and the continuing deterioration of the ecosystems on which we depend for our well-being. ... (1.1 Preamble, Agenda 21)

109. An essential principle from the UNCED documents is the requirement to carry out environmental audits, or full environmental accounting; and to take into account the costs of ecological consequences.

A principle that was affirmed at UNCED and agreed to by Canada was the need to carry out a full life cycle analysis of activities that could have significantly adverse effects. This principle, if complied with, in the forest industry would entail an examination of the environmental impacts of each stage of current forest practices — impact of the disruption and sudden elimination of a significant portion of an ecosystem through clearcutting; impact of broadcast burns; impact of treatment by pesticides; impact of off-site planting; impact of replacing a forest with a tree farm; impact of planting monocultures; impact of denying species succession; impact of creating increased susceptibility to forest fires; impact of loss of ecologically sound forest associated employment etc. At UNCED there was also a call for "environmental audits", and "full environmental accounting and "taking into account ecological consequences" of aspects related to life cycles of ...resources", and "for taking into account the costs of any ecological consequences".

Ensure that relevant decisions are preceded by environmental impact assessments and also take into account the costs of any ecological consequences (7.42)

A full environmental audit of current forest practices has not been undertaken in B.C. The Auditor General has not been requested by government to carry out a full-scale audit of the true costs of the current logging practices, and to compare these costs to those incurred by alternative forestry practices such as ecoforestry. When the Assistant Auditor General was asked by the Appellant if the Office was going to undertake such an inquiry, which undeniably would be within its mandate, he responded that it would be an almost impossible task and not economically feasible (Personal Communication, 1993).

110. The requirement to take into account the costs of any ecological consequences is a particularly relevant consideration in assessing "irreparable harm" [see other grounds section B, and the MacMillan Bloedel v Mullin case]

Ensure that relevant decisions are preceded by environmental impact assessments and also take into account the costs of any ecological consequences (7.42)

111. Another principle that came out of UNCED and was agreed to by Canada. is a the positive-duty-to protect-indigenous-lands principle. This principle reads as follows:

recognition that the lands of indigenous people and their communities should be protected from activities that are environmentally unsound or that the indigenous people concerned consider to be socially and culturally inappropriate (Agenda 21, 16.3. ii)

112. Similarly, at the Provincial level if the provincial government imputes that it intends to fulfill an obligation, the citizens should be justified in requiring the government to have the obligation fulfilled.

In a letter dated March, 1992, from both the Provincial Ministry of Forests and the Provincial Ministry of Environment (sent to members of the public presumably from a government mail-out list), the following intention is imputed:

As we, in BC Parks and BC Forest Service begin to work on implementing our components of B.C.'s protected areas under the aegis of the Commission on Resources and Environment, we will be mindful of this Declaration [Parks Protected Areas and the Human Future: the Caracas Declaration] and its implications. Our objective will be to have a system of protected areas which we are proud to present to the world.

Through this intention to be "mindful of this Declaration" the Provincial Government of B.C. through its Ministries of Environment and Forests has recognized the Caracas Declaration and the UN Resolution 37/7 (1982) World Charter for Nature.

**EXHIBIT:** L Letter from Ministries making commitments to the Caracas Declaration.

- B.C. has failed to fulfill a commitment made through B.C 's endorsement of the Caracas Convention (Parks Protected Areas and the Human Future: the Caracas Declaration, February 1992) and in its participation in the Caracas Congress to "move from logging old growth to second growth" (Report on implementation requirements of the Caracas Declaration, Mar. 1992)
- 113. It will be contended in the Appeal that not only has B.C. not complied with commitments made to the international conference on Parks at Caracas, but also B.C., through its actions in Clayoquot Sound, has failed to adhere to recommendations by the Caracas Congress on means to fulfill the Caracas Declaration
- 114. Obligations under the "Parks, Protected Areas and the Human Future: The Caracas Declaration" (February, 1992), and under recommendations by the Caracas Congress (CHECK Ref.).

The Caracas Declaration was adopted by over fifteen hundred leaders and participants at the Fourth World Congress on national parks and Protected Areas. (Feb. 1992).

3.2. Conserving Biodiversity

The congress urgently requested that all countries urgently undertake surveys to identify additional sites of critical importance for conservation of biological diversity, and wherever possible, accord total protection to them. Harvesting should be relocated from primary to secondary forests and tree plantations in previously deforested areas; or - where this is not possible sustainable forest harvesting systems which favour natural species diversity should be developed and introduced. p 8

## 3.3. Conservation on a regional scale

Protected areas have sometimes been seen as islands of nature and tranquility, surrounded by incompatible land uses. But the congress made it clear that such an "island mentality" is fatal in the long run. The congress recognized that it is unlikely that protected areas will be able to conserve biodiversity if they are surrounded by degraded habitats that limit gene-flow alter nutrient and water cycles and produce regional and global climate change that may lead to the final disappearance of these "island parks". Protected areas, therefore need to be part of broader regional approaches to land management. The term bioregion was used to describe extensive areas of land and water which include protected areas and surrounding lands, preferably including complete watersheds, where all agencies and interested parties have agreed to collaborative management.

## recommendation 3 Global efforts to conserve biological diversity.

"the loss of biodiversity has reached crisis proportion and if present trends continue up to 25 % of the world's species may be sentenced to extinction or suffer sever genetic depletion in the next several decades, accompanied by equally significant and alarming degradation of habitats and ecosystems. This loss of biological diversity is impoverishing the world of its genetic resources, its species, habitats and ecosystems.

All species deserve respect, regardless of their usefulness to humanity. This Principle was endorsed by the UN Assembly when it adopted the World Charter for nature in 1982. The loss of the living richness of the planet is dangerous, because of the environmental systems of the world support all life, and we do not know which are the key components in maintaining their essential functions.

the IVth World Congress on national Parks and Protected Areas recommends that:

a) governments make the protection of biological diversity, including species and habitat richness, representativeness and scarcity, a fundamental principle for the identification, establishment, management and public enjoyment of national parts and other protected areas; b) all countries urgently undertake surveys to identify additional sites of critical importance for conservation of biological diversity and wherever possible, accord total protection to them Harvesting should be relocated from primary to secondary forests and tree plantations in previous deforested areas; or — where this is not possible — sustainable forest harvesting systems which favour natural species diversity should be developed and introduced: p. 30

## Recommendation 4: entitled legal regimes for protected areas.

Protected areas require a mutually reinforcing system of international and national environmental law for their establishment, maintenance and management. International treaties establish a harmonized set of obligations with regard to areas within national jurisdictions and activities having effect beyond national jurisdictional boundaries. These obligations must be reflected in national legislation; otherwise, the treaties cannot be implemented. In turn, innovative national legislation provides a basis and impetus for further international law. The dynamic interaction between the two levels is thus conducive to further progress. p. 31

The Caracas Congress which is responsible for interpreting the Declaration made the following recommendations that have been ignored by B.C.:

115. B.C. has failed to move from harvesting primary to secondary forests as recommended by the Caracas Congress

The congress urgently requested that all countries urgently undertake surveys to identify additional sites of critical importance for conservation of biological diversity, and wherever possible, accord total protection to them. <u>Harvesting should be relocated from primary to secondary forests</u> .... p 8

- 116. B.C. has failed to ensure sustainable forest harvesting systems which favour natural species diversity should be developed and introduced: p. 30
- 117. B.C. has failed to prevent incompatible land use. as recommended by the Caracas Congress

Protected areas have sometimes been seen as islands of nature and tranquility, surrounded by incompatible land uses. But the congress made it clear that such an "island mentality" is fatal in the long run. The congress recognized that it is unlikely that protected areas will be able to conserve biodiversity if they are surrounded by degraded habitats that limit gene-flow alter nutrient and water cycles and produce regional and global climate change that may lead to the final disappearance of these "island parks".

118. The Congress also addressed the urgency and the need for global efforts to Global efforts to conserve biological diversity.

"the loss of biodiversity has reached crisis proportion and if present trends continue up to 25 % of the world's species may be sentenced to extinction or suffer sever genetic depletion in the next several decades, accompanied by equally significant and alarming degradation of habitats and ecosystems. This loss of biological diversity is impoverishing the world of its genetic resources, its species, habitats and ecosystems.

All species deserve respect, regardless of their usefulness to humanity. This Principle was endorsed by the UN Assembly when it adopted the UN Resolution 37/7 (1982) World Charter of Nature. The loss of the living richness of the planet is dangerous, because of the environmental systems of the world support all life, and we do not know which are the key components in maintaining their essential functions.

- 119. It will be shown that it is not only the levels of government that have failed to live up to their stated intentions to fulfill obligations but resource ministries, institutions, organizations and industry have also "imputed an intention to fulfill an obligation". Through the Forest Accord, a document which has been signed by Canadian Pulp and Paper Industry, the Council of Forest Industry, Wildlife habitat, Canadian Nature Federation, National Aboriginal Forestry Association, Minister of Forestry, Lands and Wildlife, Alberta, Minister of Natural resources Manitoba, Minister of Forests, B.C. Minister of Parks and Renewable Resources Saskatchewan, Minister of Natural Resources and Energy, New Brunswick, Minister of Natural Resources, Minister of Forestry Canada, Minister of Natural resources Ontario, the following concern and intention was expressed:
  - Our forest heritage is part of our past, our present and our future identity as a nation. It is important to maintain a rich tapestry of forests across the Canadian landscape that sustains a diversity of wildlife:

- The spiritual qualities and the inherent beauty of our forests are essential to our physical and our mental well-being
- We will fulfill our global responsibilities in the care and use of forests, maintaining their importance of the environment and the well-being of all living things.]

  March, 2, 1992
- 120. It will be shown that international customary law places a positive duty to act:

Considering that,, in view of the magnitude and gravity of the new dangers threatening them, it is incumbent on the international community as a whole to participate in the protection of the cultural and natural heritage of outstanding universal value, by the granting of collective assistance which, although not taking the place of action by the State concerned, will serve as an effective complement thereto," (26.1 Convention for the Protection ...of Natural Heritage, 1972).

121. In not agreeing to preserve Clayoquot Sound and in permitting ecologically unsound practices in Clayoquot, Canada and B.C. have failed to comply with legally binding conventions such as the Biodiversity Convention, the Climate Change Convention and the UN Convention on the Protection of Cultural and Natural heritage, and also has failed to comply with obligations undertaken through globally adopted commitments, such as the Caracas Declaration and Agenda 21. Citizens of Canada should be able to justifiably expect that Canada will adhere to international principles that are part of international agreements signed by Canada The citizens of Canada, also, should be able to justifiably expect that the courts of Canada will abide by international commitments made by Canada.

- B. This case also addresses the contempt for statutory law that has been demonstrated by industry, and in particular MacMillan Bloedel, in its non-compliance with statutory law, and by governments in their failure to enforce statutory law, particularly in relation to tree farm license (in the manner of a profit a prendre property right claimed by MacMillan Bloedel)
- 122. Evidence will be submitted that B.C. has not only used internal law the granting of injunctions to justify non compliance to International obligations but has failed to invoke its own internal law to prevent violations of international obligations.
- B.C. has failed to even invoke its own provincial legislation to ensure that it is not in violation with international obligations. The B.C. Ministry of forests has not invoked section 60 of the Forest Act; a section which has given the government discretionary powers to suspend Tree Farm Licenses indefinitely is there is evidence of damage to the natural environment through non-compliance with the Act. There is evidence that the Federal Government and provincial government have has failed to enforce their own legislation. Although there have been some convictions against MacMillan Bloedel, the legislation has not been sufficiently enforced, and as a result of non-enforcement international obligations have not been fulfilled.

This section has been enforced by the Ministry of Forests not in a punitive way but in a mitigative way, and consequently no licenses have been suspended for forest practices that have caused serious damage to the natural environment, and canceled under section 61 (cancellation of licenses). If the Ministry of Forests had voluntarily enforced its own legislation, or if there had been a writ of mandamus from the courts to require the Ministry to enforce the Forest Act then the "serious damage to the natural environment" which has occurred would have been minimized. The demonstrations in the forests in the little remaining old growth forests could be attributed in part to the years of the Forest Industries non compliance to the Forest Act and to the years of reluctance on the part of government and the courts to enforce the Forest Act.

123. In the Appeal, affidavit evidence will be submitted that not only has the Ministry of Forests been not enforcing its own legislation but that it has also contributed to the violation of the silviculture sections of the Forest Act.

There has been evidence for years that the forest industry has failed to fulfill its obligations related to silviculture and that the government of B.C. has failed to enforce sections in the Forest Act, which require adequate silviculture.

.

Evidence will also be submitted that the Inventory Branch of the Ministry of Forests became aware of a serious discrepancy between the original estimation of inventory in a block currently being logged by MacMillan Bloedel. It was found through a research study, Omule A.Y., and K.D. Tudor. Report, "Ratio Sampling Analysis" by A.Y. Statistical Decision Support, Forest Inventory Branch, B.C. Ministry of Forests. (March 11), 1993) by inventory specialists that the inventory in Block 6 in the TFL 39 in the Queen Charlottes had been overestimated by over 40 percent. Rather than releasing this document to the public or calling for suspension of licenses under section 59, the Inventory Branch of the Ministry of Forests asked McMillan Bloedel to check the findings with their own data. The Appellant and David White became aware of the

document and obtained the document through the Freedom of Information Act. It was only at that time that the Ministry of Forests released the information.

**EXHIBIT**: M. Affidavit from David White, former president of Greenpeaks, a silviculture contracting firm, and researcher responsible for uncovering the Inventory document.

124. In the Appeal, affidavit evidence will be submitted that MacMillan Bloedel was aware of alternative economically viable methods of selection logging which would have enabled Mac Milan Bloedel to have fulfilled its obligations under the Forest Act and thus its obligations under the TFL which they claim bestows a property right.

**EXHIBIT**: N Affidavit from Merv Wilkinson, Forester and internationally recognized specialists in selection logging

125. MacMillan Bloedel has not fulfilled its responsibility to protect fisheries, and in fact MacMillan Bloedel has been convicted under section 33 of the Federal fisheries Act for depositing deleterious substances which caused destruction to fish Habitat.

**EXHIBIT**: O Convictions under the Fisheries Act Request through Freedom of Information Act for Charges.

126. Evidence was compiled by John Stephen from the Department of Fisheries on non-compliance with Ministry of Forest's TFL Engineering Specifications.

In this document, Stephen examined sections in the Engineering Specifications and submitted photographs demonstrating Non-compliance of the Forest Company with the Engineering Specifications. He describes the photographs as being random samples illustrating the ineffectiveness to date of environmental protection regulations attached to the TFL 46 document and its cutting Permits. These photographs are specific to the Loop Creek site in TFL 46, and referred to non-compliance of a Co. that is not MacMillan Bloedel. However, this type of evidence has been commonplace throughout the forest industry in British Columbia.

**EXHIBIT:** P John Stephen: M.O.F. 's TFL Engineering Specifications (1991)

127. Recently there has been further evidence of MacMillan Bloedel's non fulfillment of responsibility under the Forest Act. The following is a summary of the findings of the Tripp report which was entitled *The Application and Effectiveness of the Coastal Fisheries forestry guidelines in selected cut blocks on Vancouver Island* 

(D. Tripp, April, 1992) Abstract

The Coastal Fisheries Forestry Guidelines, alone or in combination with site specific prescriptions, can effectively reduce the number and severity of the impacts experienced on streams in recently logged areas. Compliance with the guidelines and many prescription, however, was generally poor, regardless of location or the type of forest license involved. These were the findings of a recent survey of 21 logged cut blocks on Vancouver Island.

There was, on average, one major or moderate impact on one stream for every cut block inspected. Half of these impacts involved a Class 1 or 11 stream. The other half involved Class III

or IV streams that were likely to have a negative effect in the near future on more valuable habitat downstream. Since most of the impacts were the result of debris torrents, large build-ups of sediment and debris were the main types of major impacts recorded in all stream classes. .. Approximately 60% of the major problems observed were attributed to excess debris loads in steep gully systems, and a failure to appreciate the transport capabilities of such streams during heavy rains. Other contributing factors were failures to fall and yard away from the streams and failures to clean out the excess debris where cross stream yarding was permitted. Poor drainage controls on roads, and spur roads in particular, were responsible for approximately another 25% of the most significant problems, while a combination of land slides and a poorly located gravel pit accounted for the rest of the problems. Some questionable harvest practices in Streamside Management Zones accounted for six minor or moderate problems, but the long term implication of the problems was beyond the scope of the present survey.

128. In the appeal evidence of violations of the Forest Act, collected by the Valhalla Wilderness Society, will be submitted.

**EXHIBIT:** Documentation of over 150 violations of statutory law prepared by the Valhalla Wilderness Society for a previous court case (to be submitted for the Appeal)

There has also been a failure on the part of the Ministry of forests to use its discretionary powers to suspend licenses under the Forest Act to address "serious damage to the natural environment"

The government in its response to Steven Owen June 2, 1993, indicated that "the government intends to firmly enforce standards." The government then indicated that, "imminent environmental damage could result in the immediate suspension of operations under Section 60 of the Forest Act" (p.15).

Section 60 of the Forest Act, reads as follow:

Suspension of rights

the regional manager, a district manager or a forest officer authorized by either of them may, by written order and without notice, suspend in whole or part the rights under an agreement where he believes on reasonable and probable grounds that its holder has failed to perform an obligation to be performed by him under the agreement or has failed to comply with this Act or the regulations, and that the failure of performance or compliance is causing or may imminently cause serious damage to the natural environment. 1978.

Since 1978 this section has been in place, and since 1978 "serious damage to the natural environment has occurred. (see EXHIBIT —, as an example of this damage). This section has neither been enforced by the Ministry of Forests in a punitive way, nor been requested to be enforced by the Ministry of the Environment. When the Appellant contacted an enforcement officer who had been with the Ministry of Environment for 20 years, and asked him "how often the Ministry of Environment had called upon the Forest Ministry to invoke sections 59,60, and 61 of the Forest Act, his response was that he was unaware of these sections.

Sections 59 and 60 have been enforced by the Ministry of Forests not in a punitive way but in a mitigative way, and consequently no licenses were suspended for forest practices that had caused serious damage to the natural environment, and canceled under section 61 (cancellation of licenses). If the Ministry of Forests had voluntarily enforced its own

legislation, or if there had been a writ of mandamus from the courts to require the Ministry to enforce the Forest Act then the "serious damage to the natural environment" which has occurred would have been minimized. The demonstrations in the little remaining old growth forests on Vancouver Island could be attributed in part to the years of the Forest Industries' non compliance to the Forest Act and to the years of reluctance on the part of government and the courts to enforce the Forest Act.

- 130. The Government claims that the Forest Practice Code will have a strong enforcement component. On the one hand it is reassuring that the government is finally willing to enforce its legislation, but on the other hand, it is not reassuring that for years environmental harm has occurred because, past governments, as well as the current government, have not been willing to enforce sections 59, 60 and 61 of the Forest Act. For years, environmental groups have brought to the attention of the government that the Forest Act was not being complied with and as a result of non-compliance environmental harm has occurred. For years there has been contempt of the law by both industry and government.
- 131. In the Appeal it will be noted that a strong enforcement policy enforcing "kinder and gentler" destructive forest practices such as clear-cut logging will not suffice to enable governments to fulfill international obligations under the Biodiversity Convention.
- 132. It would appear that the government, in making its decision to log Clayoquot Sound, took into consideration the possible cost of compensation to MacMillan Bloedel that would have resulted from setting aside Clayoquot Sound.

Often intact ecosystems that have been deserving of preservation have been irreversibly destroyed because it was deemed necessary, if these ecosystems were to be withdrawn from an existing tree farm license, for governments to pay compensation. In the past, compensation has been assessed purely on an economic basis without taking into consideration the true environmental costs. In order to assess the environmental costs of the destruction of significant ecosystems one may need to examine if damage to the natural environment within a significant ecosystem has occurred. Section 60 of the Forest Act does permit the suspension of licenses if environmental damage to the natural environment has occurred as a result of non-compliance with the Forest Act. The potential environmental costs of destroying significant ecosystems as a result of the Ministry of Forests not suspending tree farm licenses when there was evidence of destruction to the natural environment is necessary to include in the assessment of compensation. (Complaint submitted to the Ombudsman's office for investigation by appellant and Andrew Gage, 1991-1993)

133. Not only has the government been notified about non-enforcement of Section 60 of the Forest Act, but also the ombudsman's office has been notified about this non-enforcement (even at the time that Steven Owen was the Ombudsman). To investigate the lack of enforcement of section 60 of the forests act appears to be certainly within the mandate of Ombudsman's office.

It would appear then that the forest industry has, when causing environmental harm been outside the law, because neither the government, the ministry of Forest, the ministry of environment, or the ombudsman's office has demanded that section 60 be enforced and licenses be suspended,

and that licenses be canceled under section 61. If licenses had been suspended under section 60 and canceled under section 61 because of the harm caused to the natural environment (section 60), the environmental harm such as the harm reported in the TRIPP report would not have occurred.